

**REMARKS**

The Office Action of July 27, 2005 has been received and reviewed. Claims 1, 21, 36, 38-45 and 46-52 are currently pending in the application. Claims 1, 21, 36, 38, 39, 45 and 52 were previously withdrawn from consideration. Claims 2-20, 22-35 and 37 were previously cancelled. Claims 40-44 and 46-51 stand rejected. Claims 36, 38 and 40 have been amended herein. Claims 1, 41 and 46-49 have been cancelled herein. Amendments have been made without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter has been added. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

**Claim Rejections—35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph**

Claims 40-44 and 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 41 and 46-49 have been cancelled herein thus mooted the rejection of these claims.

Applicants do not agree with the alleged indefiniteness, however, to expedite prosecution of the application independent claim 40 has been amended to remove any alleged indefiniteness. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request removal of this rejection and ask reconsideration of the claims.

**Claim rejections—35 U.S.C. § 102(b)**

Claims 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Merten *et al.* (hereinafter “Merten”). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection because Merten does not each and every element of independent claim 40.

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single reference which qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102. *Verdegaal Brothers v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Amended independent claim 40 recites, in part, a method for concentrating an influenza

virus that is infectious or can be made infectious by obtaining a cell-cleared supernatant comprising the influenza virus from a culture of cells and ultrafiltering the supernatant under low shear conditions using a hollow fiber.

Merten teaches a method for concentrating influenza virus using a density gradient of potassium tartrate (50%-10%) to purify the virus. (Merten *et al.*, page 143). However, Merten fails to disclose inherently or expressly the concentration of an influenza virus by using hollow fiber filtration as recited by independent claim 40. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), amended independent claim 40 recites subject matter which is not anticipated by Merten.

Furthermore, claim 42 is not anticipated, among other reasons, for depending either directly from claim 40, which is not anticipated.

For these reasons, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) rejections of claims 40 and 42 is respectfully solicited.

#### **Claim rejections—35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

Claims 40-44 and 46-51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Merten in view of Paul RW *et al.* (hereinafter “Paul”). Claims 41 and 46-49 have been cancelled herein thus mooted the rejection of these claims. Applicants respectfully traverse the remaining rejection for the following reasons.

The standard for establishing and maintaining a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is set forth in M.P.E.P. § 706.02(j), which provides:

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Accordingly, a *prima facie* case of obviousness cannot be established because there is no motivation to combine or an expectation of success found in the cited prior art references.

The Examiner asserts that one of skill in the art at would have been motivated to use hollow fiber filtration to concentrate an influenza virus because “gradient ultracentrifugation [] is a procedure that requires time expensive materials and expensive equipment.” (Office Action, pages 4 and 5). Applicants respectfully submit that there is no indication in the prior art that the density gradient of Merten is more or less expensive or that one of skill in the art would be motivated to replace the density gradient of Merten with a less expensive technique. Just because one technique is less expensive than another is not motivation that one of skill in the art would want to use alternatives or that there is an expectation of success in using cheaper alternatives.

Furthermore, the Examiner claims that it would have been “obvious to concentrate the virus of Merten et al. using hollow fiber filtration with the expectation of success in increasing the concentration of influenza virus and purifying it.” (Office Action, page 5). However, applicants assert that there is no motivation or expectation of success in using the retroviral techniques of Paul in the very different field of vaccine production for the influenza virus. There is no indication in the cited references that the hollow fiber method as provided by Paul would also be applicable to the disclosure of Merten or that the skilled person would be motivated by the teachings of the cited references to use hollow fiber filtration with an influenza virus.

Applicants submit that Paul does not enabling the concentration of influenza viruses using the described hollow fiber method, wherein such viruses remain infectious or can be made infectious. It is only stated in the abstract that the method is generally applicable, however, that is not a reasonably indication that the skilled person would expect success if the hollow fiber method was used for an influenza virus. It would only be by burdensome experimentation and investigation that one of skill in the art could decide the utility of hollow fiber filtration for concentrating an influenza virus.. Moreover, Paul admits that the success of isolating infectious retroviral particles depended on using specific filtration parameters and, even under those parameters the retroviral infectivity was sometimes very low, presumably because of high shear forces. (Paul *et al.*, page 610). Accordingly, one of skill in the art would not have a reasonable expectation of success for concentrating influenza viruses under low shear conditions in view of the teachings of Paul.

Applicants assert that, even though hollow fiber filtration was known at the time of the application, those of skill in the art knew that different filtration techniques were more appropriate depending on the type of viral isolates. The cited references make no indication that an influenza virus could be concentrated using a hollow fiber filter system or that one would obtain infectious virus after using a hollow fiber filter. Retroviruses (as purified in Paul) may very well behave completely different from other viruses such as influenza virus. As there is no indication in the cited references that the hollow fiber method would work for influenza, applicants submit there would have been a need for an inventive step to provide a method as claimed in the present application.

Accordingly, a *prima facie* case of obviousness cannot be established because the cited references do not alone, or in combination, provide a motivation to combine the references or give a reasonable expectation of success. Therefore, applicants request removal of this rejection and humbly ask reconsideration of the claims.

#### **Double Patenting**

Claim 1 allegedly conflicts with claim 1 of Application No. 09/449,852. Applicants assume that the Examiner is actually referring to Application No. 09/449,854 by Pau *et al.* Applicants disagree with the alleged conflict but, in order to expedite prosecution, claim 1 has been cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer.

Accordingly, applicants request removal of the double patenting rejection.

**CONCLUSION**


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the applicants submit that the claims define patentable subject matter and a notice of allowance is requested.

A complete set of formal drawings (26 sheets) is being transmitted herewith.

The applicants again request entry of the amendments as set forth herein and in the Appendices attached hereto.

Should questions exist after consideration of the foregoing, the Office is kindly requested to contact the applicants' attorney at the address or telephone number given herein

Respectfully submitted,



Yury M. Colton, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 55,081  
Attorney for Applicants  
TRASKBRITT, P.C.  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550  
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: November 28, 2005

Enclosures: Appendix A  
Appendix B  
Formal Drawings (26 sheets)

PATENT  
Attorney Docket 4626US

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Express Mail Label Number: EL700253940US

Date of Deposit: November 27, 2000

Person making Deposit: Jared Turner

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

for

**PRODUCTION OF VACCINES**

Inventors:

Maria Grazia Pau  
Alphonsus G. C. M. UytdeHaag  
Govert Schouten

Attorney:  
Allen C. Turner  
Registration No. 33,041  
TRASKBRITT  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110  
(801) 532-1922

## PRODUCTION OF VACCINES

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

[0001] ~~Cross-reference to related applications:~~ This application is a continuation-in-part of ~~U. S.~~ U.S. patent application ~~serial no.~~ Serial No. 09/449,854 filed on November 26, 1999, the entire contents of which are incorporated by this reference.

### TECHNICAL FIELD

[0002] ~~Technical Field:~~ This invention relates generally to the development and manufacture of vaccines. In particular, the invention relates to the production of viral proteins and/or ~~viruses,~~ viruses using a mammalian (*e.g.*, human) cell for the production of viruses growing in eukaryotic, especially mammalian and human, cells. The invention is useful for the production of vaccines to aid in protection against viral pathogens for vertebrates, such as mammals.

### BACKGROUND

[0003] ~~Background:~~ Presently, vaccination is the most important route of dealing with viral infections. Although a number of antiviral agents are available, ~~typically~~ typically, these agents have limited efficacy. Administering antibodies against a virus may be a good way of dealing with viral infections once an individual is infected (~~passive immunization~~) and ~~typically~~ immunization). Typically, human or humanized antibodies hold promise for dealing with a number of viral infections, but the most efficacious and safe way of dealing with virus infection presently ~~is~~ is, and probably will ~~be~~ be, prophylaxis through active immunizations. Active immunization is generally referred to as ~~"vaccination"~~ "vaccination." Vaccines comprise at least one antigenic determinant (typically of a virus), preferably a number of different antigenic determinants of at least one virus or other pathogen, for instance, by incorporating in the vaccine at least one (viral) polypeptide or protein derived from a virus (subunit vaccines).

[0004] Typically, vaccines include adjuvants in order to enhance the immune response. Use of adjuvants is also possible for vaccines that use whole virus (pathogen), for instance, when the

virus is inactivated. Another possibility is the use of live, but attenuated, virus. A further possibility is the use of wild-type (“wt”) virus, for instance, in cases where adult individuals are not in danger of ~~infection,~~ infection but infants ~~are,~~ are and may be protected through maternal antibodies and the like.

[0005] Producing vaccines is not always an easy procedure. In some cases, the production of viral material is on eggs, which may lead to materials that are difficult to purify and require extensive safety measures against, for instance, contamination. Likewise, production on bacteria or yeast, which is sometimes an alternative for eggs, can require many purification and safety steps.

[0006] Production on mammalian cells would be an alternative, but the mammalian cells used thus far have required, for instance, the presence of serum and/or adherence to a solid support for growth. In the first case, again purification and safety and, for example, the requirement of protease to support the replication of some ~~viruses~~ viruses, becomes an issue. In the second case, high yields and ease of production become a further issue. The present invention overcomes at least a number of the problems encountered with the production systems for production of viruses and/or viral proteins for vaccine purposes of the systems of the prior art.

#### **Description of the Invention** **BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

[0007] The invention includes a novel human immortalized cell line for the purpose of propagating, harvesting and producing virus. PER.C6 cells (*see, e.g.*, U. S. Patent 5,994,128 to Bout et al. ~~al.~~, also deposited under No. 96022940 at the European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures at the Centre for Applied Microbiology and Research) were generated by transfection of primary human embryonic retina ~~cells,~~ cells using a plasmid that contained the adenovirus (“Ad”) serotype 5 (Ad5) E1A- and E1B-coding sequences (Ad5 nucleotides 459-3510) (SEQ ID-~~NO:1~~ NO:1) under the control of the human phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) promoter.

[0008] The following features make PER.C6 or a derivative thereof particularly useful as a host for virus production: it is a fully characterized human cell ~~line,~~ line; it was developed in compliance with good laboratory ~~practices,~~ practices; it can be grown as a suspension culture in defined serum-free medium, devoid of any human or animal serum proteins; its growth is compatible



with roller bottles, shaker flasks, spinner flasks and bioreactors, with doubling times of about 35 hours.

#### Influenza epidemiology:

[0009] Influenza viruses, members of the family of *Orthomyxoviridae*, are the causative agents of annual epidemics of acute respiratory disease. In the ~~US~~ U.S. alone, 50 million Americans get the flu each year. Estimated deaths worldwide (1972-1992) are 60,000 (CDC statistics). There have been ~~3~~ three major cases of pandemics of influenza, namely in 1918 (Spanish flu, ~~est.~~ estimated 40 million deaths), in 1957 (Asian flu, ~~est.~~ estimated 1 million deaths), and in 1968 (Hong-Kong flu, ~~est. 700.00~~ estimated 700,000 deaths).

[0010] Infections with influenza viruses are associated with a broad spectrum of illnesses and complications that result in substantial worldwide morbidity and mortality, especially in older people and patients with chronic illness. Vaccination against influenza is most effective in preventing the often fatal complications associated with this infection (Murphy, B.R., and R.G. Webster 1996). The production of influenza virus on the diploid human cell line MRC-5 has been reported (Herrero-Euribe L., et al. 1983). However, the titers of influenza virus were prohibitively low.

#### Strains of Influenza virus

[0011] Present day flu vaccines contain purified hemagglutinin and neuraminidase of Influenza virus A and B. The ~~3~~ three viruses that represent ~~epidemiological~~ epidemiologically important strains are Influenza A (H1N1), Influenza A (H3N2) and Influenza B. The division into A and B types is based on antigenic differences between their nucleoprotein (NP) and matrix (M) protein antigen. The Influenza A virus is further subdivided into subtypes based on the antigenic composition (sequence) of hemagglutinin (H1-H15) and neuraminidase (N1-N9) molecules. Representatives of each of these subtypes have been isolated from aquatic birds, ~~which, probably,~~ which probably are the primordial reservoir of all influenza viruses for avian and mammalian

species. Transmission has been shown between pigs and humans and, recently (H5N1), between birds and humans.

### Influenza vaccines

[0012] Three types of inactivated influenza vaccine are currently used in the world: whole virus, split product, and surface antigen or “subunit” vaccines. These vaccines all contain the surface glycoproteins, hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (~~NA~~), (NA) of the influenza virus strains that are expected to circulate in the human population in the upcoming season. These strains, which are incorporated into the vaccine, are grown in embryonated hens’ ~~eggs~~, eggs and the viral particles are subsequently purified before further processing.

[0013] The need for the yearly adjustment of influenza vaccines is due to antigen variation caused by processes known as “antigenic drift” and “antigenic ~~shift~~”, shift.”

[0014] “Antigenic drift” occurs by the accumulation of a series of point mutations in either the H or N protein of a virus resulting in amino acid substitutions. These substitutions prevent the binding of neutralizing ~~antibodies~~, antibodies induced by previous ~~infection~~, infection and the new variant can infect the host.

[0015] “Antigenic shift” is the appearance of a new subtype by genetic re-assortment between animal and human Influenza A viruses. The pandemic strains of 1957 (H2N2) and 1968 (H3N2) are examples of re-assorted viruses by which avian H ~~and or~~ and/or N genes were introduced in circulating human ~~viruses~~, viruses that subsequently spread among the human population.

[0016] Based on the epidemiological surveys by over one hundred National Influenza Centres worldwide, the World Health Organization (WHO) yearly recommends the composition of the influenza vaccine, usually in February for the northern ~~hemisphere~~, hemisphere and in September for the southern hemisphere. This practice limits the time window for production and standardization of the vaccine to a maximum ~~of 9~~ of nine months.

[0017] If an urgent demand arises for many doses of vaccine, for ~~example~~ example, when a novel subtype of Influenza A virus arises by antigenic shift or antigenic drift, limited availability of

eggs may hamper the rapid production of vaccine. Further disadvantages of this production system are the lack of flexibility, the risk of the presence of toxins, and the risks of adventitious viruses, particularly retroviruses, and concerns about sterility. These disadvantages present a serious problem in today's practice of influenza vaccine production on embryonated hens' eggs.

[0018] Therefore, the use of a cell culture system for influenza vaccine production would be an attractive alternative. Influenza viruses can be grown on a number of primary cells, including monkey kidney, calf kidney, hamster kidney and chicken kidney. Yet, their use for vaccine production is impractical, due to the need to re-establish cultures from these primary cells for each preparation of a vaccine. Therefore, the use of continuous immortalized cell lines for influenza vaccine production is an attractive alternative.

[0019] The use of culture systems was facilitated by the realization that the proteolytic cleavage of HA into its two subunits (HA1 and HA2) is required for influenza virus ~~infectivity~~, infectivity and can be obtained by adding trypsin. Including trypsin permits replication and plaque formation in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells (Tobita et al. 1975).

[0020] The MDCK cell line was recently shown to support the growth of influenza virus for vaccine production (Brand et al. 1996 and 1997, Palache et al. 1997). The use of trypsin requires growth of the MDCK cells in serum-free tissue culture medium (MDCK-SF1). However, MDCK cells are currently not approved as a substrate for production of influenza virus.

[0021] Importantly, any non-human system for producing influenza vaccines has an inherent drawback, known as ~~'adaptation'~~. "adaptation." Human Influenza A and B viruses both carry mutations in HA, due to adaptation in embryonated hens' eggs. These mutations result in altered antigenicity (Newman et al. 1993, Williams and Robertson 1993, Robertson et al. 1994, Gubareva et al. 1994, Schild et al. 1993, Robertson et al. 1987, Kodihalli et al. 1995). In humans, immunization with vaccines containing HA bearing an egg-adaptation mutation induces less neutralizing antibody to virus than a non-egg adapted HA (Newman et al. 1993).

[0022] Human influenza viruses propagated in canine ~~cells~~ cells, such as MDCK ~~cells~~ cells, also show adaptation, albeit to a lesser extent. Such viruses resemble the original human isolates more closely than egg-derived viruses (Robertson et al. 1990).

[0023] Furthermore, evidence exists that host-specific changes in NA and host-specific phosphorylation patterns of NA can affect the replication of Influenza viruses (Schulman and Palese 1977; Sugiara and Ueda 1980; Kistner et al. 1976).

[0024] Therefore, it would clearly be advantageous to avoid adaptation or other host-induced changes of influenza virus, possibly resulting in a more homogeneous population of viruses, rendering the ultimate vaccine more effective.

[0025] The present invention provides human cells used as a substrate for the production of high titers of influenza ~~virus~~, virus suitable for the development of vaccines.

#### Rotavirus and Vaccines Therefor

[0026] Rotaviruses are the most important cause of severe dehydrating gastroenteritis in young children worldwide. In developing countries, infections with rotaviruses reportedly lead to over 800,000 deaths annually. In the United States alone, estimated costs of health care due to rotavirus infections exceed 1 billion-~~US~~ U.S. dollars per year.

[0027] Rotaviruses, members of the family of *Reoviridae*, are double stranded RNA viruses consisting of ~~11~~ eleven RNA segments, each coding for a structural or non-structural viral protein (VP). This inner core of the virus comprises four ~~VP's~~ VPs: VP1, 2, 3 and 6. These VP determine the three main antigenic properties of HRV—group, subgroup, and serotype. Seven antigenically distinct groups (denominated A through G) have been ~~identified~~, identified that are encoded by the VP6. Infection with human rotavirus (HRV) is predominantly caused by group A rotaviruses, with serotypes 1-4 accounting for 95% of clinical illness. Natural disease protection is ~~serotype specific~~. serotype-specific. Group A is further classified into subgroups I and II.

[0028] The double layer outer shell forming the viral capsid consists of two viral proteins, VP4 and VP7, that are the neutralization antigens involved in protective immunity and that determine the serotype, although the VP4 plays a minor role in serotype determination. During co-infection with different serotypes, the segmented genomes readily undergo genetic re-assorting, a property that has been used to create a vaccine (Marsha et al. 1999).

[0029] Given the worldwide prevalence of ~~rotavirus-associated~~ rotavirus-associated infant morbidity and mortality, large scale vaccination against rotavirus is considered the most effective way to combat this virus. The goal of vaccination would not be to prevent the disease but to reduce its morbidity, especially during the first few years of life.

[0030] The only effective vaccine available at present is a live, attenuated, orally delivered vaccine based on the re-assortment of RNA segments of human rotaviruses, encoding the ~~VP7's~~ VP7s of serotypes 1, 2 and 4 in a Rhesus rotavirus supplying the attenuated background together with the VP7 of serotype 3. Vaccination with this ~~human / rhesus~~ human/rhesus reassortant tetravalent vaccine (RRV-TV), although highly effective in preventing severe gastroenteritis, is associated with intussusception, a bowel obstruction disease. For that reason, this vaccine is no longer in use.

[0031] Means and methods are disclosed herein for producing a virus and/or viral protein in a cell, preferably using a defined synthetic medium, and for purifying the virus and/or components thereof from the cell and/or culture medium. Pharmaceutical compositions containing virus or its components and methods for manufacturing and recovering and/or purifying them are provided.

#### **~~Brief Description of the Figures~~ BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES**

[0032] FIG. 1 is a graph depicting percentage of infected cells (positive cells) viewed microscopically after immunofluorescence assay versus percentage of dead cells measured via FACS after propidium iodide staining, at multiplicities of infection (~~moi's~~) (mois) of  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{-4}$ . Poor viability of the cells from samples derived from infection at moi  $10^{-3}$  did not give rise to reliable data.

[0033] FIG. 2 ~~are~~ consists of two graphs depicting percentage of infected cells viewed microscopically after immunofluorescence assay. Samples derived from infection at moi 10 and 1, at ~~48h post infection~~ 48 hours post-infection are not shown, because of full CPE.

[0034] FIG. 3 is a graph depicting kinetics of virus propagation measured in hemagglutinating units (HAU) from day 1 through day 6 after infection.

[0035] FIG. 4 consists of two graphs depicting percentage of infected cells (positive cells) viewed microscopically after immunofluorescence assay.

[0036] FIG. 5 consists of two graphs depicting kinetics of virus propagation measured in HAU from day 1 through day 6 after infection.

[0037] FIG. 6 consists of two graphs depicting percentage of infected cells (positive cells) viewed microscopically after immunofluorescence assay.

[0038] FIG. 7 consists of two graphs depicting kinetics of virus propagation measured in HAU from day 2 through day 6 after infection.

[0039] FIG. 8 consists of portions A and B depicting expression of Sia2-3Gal and Sia2-6Gal linkages on cell surface receptors present on Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells, PER.C6 cells and MDCK cells. Portion A is a schematic representation of the interaction of the ~~Sambuea~~ Sambucus nigra agglutinin (SNA) lectin that specifically recognizes Sia2-6Gal linkages and the Maackia amurensis agglutinin (MAA) lectin that specifically recognizes Sia2-3Gal linkages. The schematic interaction with the ~~FITC-labelled~~ FITC-labeled anti-DIG antibody recognizing the ~~DIG-labelled~~ DIG-labeled lectin bound to the oligosaccharide chain on the cell surface protein is also depicted. Portion B depicts FACS analysis of cells incubated with DIG-labeled lectins. Lectins attached to the cells were detected with FITC-labeled anti-DIG antibody using procedures known to persons skilled in the art. Cell number counts are plotted against the fluorescence intensity of lectin-stained cells (gray) as compared with cells that were incubated only with the FITC-anti-DIG antibody (open). The upper panels of Portion B show the shift in the FACS analysis obtained by using the SNA lectin, while the lower panels of Portion B show the shift in the FACS analysis obtained by using the MAA lectin.

[0040] FIG. 9 consists of Portions A, B, and C, depicting infection with A/Sydney/5/97 on PER.C6. (A) Effect of trypsin-EDTA on HAU titers. (B) HA concentration in  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  and (C) virus infectivity titers in ~~pfu's/ml~~ pfu/ml as measured in crude viral supernatants, 96 hours ~~post-infection~~ post-infection.

[0041] FIG. 10 consists of Portions A, B, and C depicting infection with B/Harbin/7/94 on PER.C6. (A) Effect of different concentrations of trypsin-EDTA present during and after virus infection on growth kinetics. (B) HAU titers per 50  $\mu$ l and (C) virus infectivity titers in pfu/ml.

[0042] FIG. 11 consists of Portions A and B which depict infection with X-127 using an moi of  $10^{-3}$  on PER.C6. (A) Effect of trypsin-EDTA on HAU given in HAU/50  $\mu$ l and (B) virus infectivity titers in pfu/ml for ~~5~~ five days after infection.

[0043] FIG. 12 consists of Portions A and B depicting infection with X-127 using an moi of  $10^{-4}$  on PER.C6. (A) Effect of trypsin-EDTA on HAU given in HAU/50  $\mu$ l and (B) virus infectivity titers in pfu/ml during ~~5~~ five days after infection.

[0044] FIG. 13 consists of Portions A and B depicting effect of trypsin-EDTA on (A) PER.C6 cells viability and (B) biological activity of the virus. Cell viability was measured after trypan-blue staining. HAU titers were measured as described and given per 50  $\mu$ l.

[0045] FIG. 14 consists of Portions A and B depicting effect of trypsin-EDTA on virus infectivity titers and HA protein content after influenza infection of PER.C6 cells with A/Sydney/5/97. (A) The infectivity assay was carried out by inoculating, in quadruplicate, MDCK cells with a total of 100  $\mu$ l of ~~10-fold~~ ten-fold serially diluted ~~virus-containing~~ virus-containing supernatants, in ~~serum-free~~ serum-free medium with trypsin-EDTA (4  $\mu$ g/ml). After seven days, supernatant of these cultures were tested for HA activity. The infectious virus titers were calculated according to the method of Spearman-Kärber (1931). (B) Western blot analysis of the A/Sydney/5/97 HA protein. Harvesting of viral proteins ~~were~~ was carried out by disruption and denaturation of proteins using an ~~SDS-containing~~ SDS-containing lysis buffer. The electrophoretic run was performed on a 10% SDS/PAGE gel under reducing conditions. Separated proteins were probed with the specific anti-A/Sydney-HA antisera. Increasing amounts of the positive control A/Sydney HA antigen (left ~~4~~ four lanes) and 10  $\mu$ l of PER.C6 cells supernatants of the indicated trypsin incubated samples (right ~~5~~ five lanes) were loaded.

[0046] FIG. 15 consists of an upper and lower portion and depict PER.C6 cells viability, glucose concentration, and growth kinetics of A/Sydney/5/97 in a hollow fiber perfusion ~~system.~~ system.

[0047] FIG. 16 consists of a right and left ~~portion,~~ portion and depicts the characterization and quantification of Influenza Virus A/Sydney/5/97 propagated on PER.C6 in a hollow fiber perfusion system. SDS-PAGE and Western blots were done as described in legend to FIG. 14 for the Sheep anti-A/Sydney-HA antibody. The monoclonal antibody ~~anti-HA-tag~~ anti-HA-tag (HA probe (F7), F7) ~~mouse monoclonal,~~ monoclonal (Santa Cruz) was used in 1:1000 dilution. As a second ~~antibody~~ antibody, a goat ~~anti-mouse HRP-conjugated~~ anti-mouse-HRP-conjugated antibody (Biorad), in 1:7500 dilution was used.

[0048] FIG. 17 consists of a right and left ~~graph,~~ graph and depicts PER.C6 cells viability (left panel) and glucose concentration (right panel) in a 12 liter bioreactor up to ~~92 h~~ 92 hours after viral infection using A/Sydney/5/97 virus.

[0049] FIG. 18 is a graph depicting the infection of PER.C6 with A/Sydney/5/97 in a 10 liter cell suspension in a 12 liter bioreactor. Kinetics of virus replication as measured by immunofluorescence assay are given in percentages of positively stained cells.

[0050] FIG. 19 is a bar graph depicting infection of PER.C6 cells with A/Sydney/5/97 in a 10 liter cell suspension in a 12 liter bioreactor. ~~Kinetic~~ Kinetics of virus replication as measured by Hemagglutination assay are given in ~~HAU's~~ HAUs during several days after viral infection. The bar depicted with an asterisk is the number of ~~HAU's~~ HAUs obtained after Powerfuge™ clarification as described in the text.

[0051] FIG. 20 is a Western blot following infection of PER.C6 with A/Sydney/5/97 virus in a 10 liter cell suspension in a 12 liter bioreactor. Shown is the characterization and quantification of the Influenza virus A/Sydney/5/97 HA polypeptide. SDS/PAGE and Western blot were done as described with respect to FIG. 14. The different subunits (HA1 and HA2) and the non-cleaved HA0 proteins are depicted by arrow heads. The HA obtained from NIBSC served as a positive control.

[0052] FIG. 21 shows the determination of ~~HAU's~~ HAUs and pfu/ml after infection of PER.C6 with A/Sydney/5/97 in a 10 liter cell suspension in a 12 liter bioreactor. The infection was followed by Down Stream Processing (DSP). The recovery of viral yields after hollow fiber ultra-filtration (~~20 fold~~ 20-fold concentration) is also shown.



[0053] FIG. 22 consists of four graphs depicting infection of PER.C6 with A/Sydney/5/97 in a 2 liter cell suspension in a 3 liter bioreactor. PER.C6 cells viability (upper left), glucose concentration (upper right) and growth kinetics of the virus in the percentage of positively staining cells (lower left), and ~~HAU's~~ HAUs (lower right) are given.

[0054] FIG. 23 consists of four graphs depicting infection of PER.C6 with A/Beijing/262/95 in a 2 liter cell suspension in a 3 liter bioreactor. PER.C6 cells viability (upper left), glucose concentration (upper right) and growth kinetics of the virus in the percentage of positively staining cells (lower left), and ~~HAU's~~ HAUs (lower right) are given.

[0055] FIG. 24 consists of four graphs depicting infection of PER.C6 with B/Harbin/7/94 in a 2 liter cell suspension in a 3 liter bioreactor. PER.C6 cells viability (upper left), glucose concentration (upper right) and growth kinetics of the virus in the percentage of positively staining cells (lower left), and ~~HAU's~~ HAUs (lower right) are given.

[0056] FIG. 25 is a Western blot analysis of uncleaved A/Sydney/5/97 HA0 protein. Positive staining proteins are detected after incubation with the specific anti-A/Sydney antisera obtained from NIBSC and described as in the legend of FIG. 14 and in the text.

[0057] FIG. 26A is a Western blot analysis of ~~A/Sydney/5/97-derived~~ A/Sydney/5/97-derived HA0 protein digested with trypsin. Proteins are detected after incubation with the specific anti-A/Sydney antisera. On the ~~left~~ left, a standard cleaved A/Sydney-HA, HA and on the ~~right~~ right, HA0 treated with increasing amount of trypsin.

[0058] FIG. 26B is a determination of trypsin activity in the culture supernatant of an Influenza B/Harbin production run, using HA0 of Influenza A/Sydney/5/97 as substrate. Western blot analysis of HA0 cleavage products HA1 and HA2 as visualized by ~~anti-Influenza~~ anti-Influenza A/Sydney/5/97-HA-specific HA-specific antisera is mentioned in legend to FIG. 14.

[0059] FIG. 27 is a Western blot analysis of A/Sydney HA0 digested with N-glycosydase F. Proteins are detected after incubation with the specific anti-A/Sydney antisera. The protein band depicted with an asterisk is the de-glycosylated product.

[0060] FIG. 28 is a Western blot analysis of A/Sydney/5/97 HA after Accutase digestion. Proteins are detected after incubation with the specific polyclonal anti-A/Sydney-HA antisera. On

the left, HA0 before and after trypsin treatment, on the ~~right~~ right, HA0 digested with decreasing amount of Accutase.

[0061] FIG. 29 consists of five portions (A through E) and depicts electron micrographs of Influenza A/Sydney/5/97. (A) PER.C6 cells 72 ~~hrs post-infection~~ hours post-infection. (B and C) Negative staining on virus derived from infected PER.C6. (D and E) Negative staining of sucrose purified material.

[0062] FIG. 30A identifies different Influenza A and B strains tested on PER.C6 cells.

[0063] FIG. 30B is a bar graph depicting infectivity titers of three depicted A- and B-type influenza viruses derived from infected PER.C6 cells.

[0064] FIG. 31 consists of five bar graphs (A through E) depicting immunofluorescence of PER.C6 and Vero cells infected with viruses other than influenza. (A) Positively staining cells upon infection with Measles virus. (B) Positively staining cells upon infection of Vero cells with HSV-1 virus. (C) Positively staining cells upon infection of Vero cells with HSV-2 virus. (D) Positively staining cells upon infection of PER.C6 cells with HSV-1 virus. (E) Positively staining cells upon infection of PER.C6 cells with HSV-2 virus.

[0065] FIG. 32 consists of upper, middle and lower portions, depicting infectivity titers determined after propagation of measles virus (middle panel), HSV-1 (bottom panel) and HSV-2 (top panel) virus on PER.C6 cells.

[0066] FIG. 33 consists of ~~an~~ upper and lower ~~panels~~, panels and depicts replication of rotavirus after infection of PER.C6 (top panel) and Vero (bottom panel) cells with different ~~moi's~~ mois as measured by ELISA in crude supernatants.

#### **~~Detailed Description of The Invention~~ DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

[0067] The invention provides a method for producing a virus and/or viral ~~proteins~~ proteins, other than adenovirus or adenoviral ~~proteins~~ proteins, for use as a vaccine ~~comprising~~ comprising: providing a cell with at least a sequence encoding at least one gene product of the E1 ~~gene~~ gene, or a functional derivative ~~thereof~~ thereof, of an ~~adenovirus~~ adenovirus; providing the cell with a nucleic acid encoding the virus or the viral ~~proteins~~ proteins; culturing the cell in a

suitable medium and allowing for propagation of the virus or expression of the viral ~~proteins~~ proteins; and harvesting the virus and/or viral proteins from the medium and/or the cell.

[0068] Heretofore, ~~few, if any (human)~~ few (if any) human cells have been found that were suitable to produce viruses and/or viral proteins for use as vaccines in any reproducible and scalable ~~manner and/or~~ manner, in sufficiently high ~~yields~~ yields, and/or easily purifiable. We have now found that ~~cells,~~ cells having adenoviral E1 sequences (preferably in their ~~genome~~), genome) are capable of sustaining the propagation of viruses in significant amounts.

[0069] A preferred cell according to the invention is derived from a human primary cell, preferably a cell which is immortalized by a gene product of the E1 gene. In order to be able to grow a primary cell, it, of course, needs to be immortalized. A good example of such a cell is one derived from a human embryonic retinoblast.

[0070] In cells according to the invention, it is important that the E1 gene sequences are not lost during the cell cycle. ~~It is therefore~~ is, therefore, preferred that the sequence encoding at least one gene product of the E1 gene is present in the genome of the ~~(human)~~ human cell.

[0071] For safety reasons, care is best taken to avoid unnecessary adenoviral sequences in the cells. It is thus another embodiment of the invention to provide cells that do not produce adenoviral structural proteins. However, in order to achieve large scale (continuous) virus production through cell culture, it is preferred to have cells capable of growing without needing anchorage. Preferred cells according to the invention have that capability. To have a clean and relatively safe production system from which it is easy to recover and, if desired, ~~to~~ purify the virus, it is preferred to have a method according to the ~~invention,~~ invention wherein the human cell comprises no other adenoviral sequences. The most preferred cell for the methods and uses of the invention is the previously identified PER.C6 ~~cell,~~ cell or a derivative thereof.

[0072] Thus, the invention provides a method of using a cell ~~according to the invention,~~ wherein the cell further comprises a sequence encoding E2A or a functional ~~derivative or~~ derivative, analogue or fragment thereof, preferably a cell wherein the sequence encoding E2A or a functional ~~derivative or~~ derivative, analogue or fragment thereof is present in the genome of the human ~~cell,~~

cell and, most preferably, a cell wherein the E2A encoding sequence encodes a ~~temperature sensitive~~ temperature-sensitive (ts) mutant E2A.

[0073] Furthermore, as previously stated, the invention also provides a method wherein the ~~(human)-~~ human cell is capable of growing in suspension.

[0074] The invention also provides a method wherein the human cell can be cultured in the absence of serum. A cell according to the invention, in particular PER.C6, preferably has the additional advantage that it can be cultured in the absence of serum or serum components. ~~Thus~~ Thus, isolation is easy, safety is enhanced, and the system has good reliability (synthetic media are the best for reproducibility). The human cells of the ~~invention, and~~ invention and, in particular particular, those based on primary cells, particularly ones based on HER cells, are capable of normal (for humans) post- and peri-translational modifications and assembly. This means that they are very suitable for preparing viral proteins and viruses for use in vaccines.

[0075] Thus, the invention provides a method wherein the virus and/or the viral proteins comprise a protein that undergoes post-translational and/or ~~peritranslational-~~ peri-translational modification, such as glycosylation.

[0076] A good example of a viral vaccine that has been cumbersome to produce in any reliable manner is influenza vaccine. The invention provides a method wherein the viral proteins comprise at least one of an influenza virus neuraminidase and/or a hemagglutinin. Other viral proteins (subunits) and viruses (wt to be inactivated) or attenuated viruses that may be produced in the methods according to the invention include ~~enterovirus, such-~~ enterovirus (such as rhinovirus, aphthovirus, or poliomyelitis ~~virus, virus),~~ herpes-virus, such- virus (such as herpes simplex virus, pseudorabies virus or bovine herpes ~~virus, orthomyxovirus, such- virus),~~ orthomyxovirus (such as influenza ~~virus, virus), a paramyxovirus, such-~~ paramyxovirus (such as Newcastle disease virus, respiratory syncytio virus, mumps virus or a measles ~~virus, retrovirus, such- virus),~~ retrovirus (such as human immunodeficiency virus or a parvovirus or a ~~papovavirus, papovavirus),~~ rotavirus or a ~~coronavirus, such-~~ coronavirus (such as transmissible gastroenteritis ~~virus or virus),~~ a flavivirus, such- flavivirus (such as tick-borne encephalitis virus or yellow fever ~~virus, virus),~~ a togavirus, such togavirus (such as rubella virus or Eastern-, Western-, or Venezuelan equine encephalomyelitis

~~virus, virus), a hepatitis-causing virus, such virus (such as hepatitis A or hepatitis B virus, virus), a pestivirus, such pestivirus (such as hog cholera virus or virus), a rhabdovirus, such rhabdovirus (such as rabies virus, virus), or a Bunyaviridae virus, such as Hantavirus. virus (such as Hantavirus).~~

[0077] In one embodiment, a cell of the invention is useful in the generation of an influenza virus strain that does not grow very efficiently on embryonal eggs.

[0078] The invention also includes the use of a human cell having a sequence encoding at least one adenoviral E1 protein or a functional derivative, homolog or fragment thereof in its genome, which cell does not produce structural adenoviral proteins for the production of a virus, or at least one viral protein for use in a vaccine. Of course, for such a use, the cells preferred in the methods according to the invention are also preferred. The invention also provides the products resulting from the methods and uses according to the invention, especially viral proteins and viruses obtainable according to those uses and/or methods, especially when brought in a pharmaceutical composition comprising suitable excipients ~~and~~ and, in some ~~formats (inactivated formats, inactivated viruses, subunits)~~ subunits, or adjuvants. Dosage and ways of administration can be sorted out through normal clinical testing ~~in-as~~ so far as they are not yet available through the already registered vaccines.

[0079] Thus, the invention also provides a virus or a viral protein for use in a vaccine obtainable by a method or by a use according to the invention, the virus or the viral protein being free of any non-human mammalian proteinaceous material and a pharmaceutical formulation comprising such a virus and/or viral protein.

[0080] The invention further provides a human cell having a sequence encoding at least one E1 protein of an adenovirus or a functional derivative, homolog or fragment thereof in its genome, which cell does not produce structural adenoviral proteins and having a nucleic acid encoding a virus or at least one non-adenoviral viral protein. This cell can be used in a method according to the invention.

[0081] In a preferred embodiment, the invention provides influenza virus obtainable by a method according to the invention or by a use according to the invention. In another embodiment,

the invention provides influenza vaccines obtainable by a method according to the invention or by a use according to the invention.

[0082] In another aspect, the invention provides a kit for determining activity of a protease in a sample comprising at least one viral protein or virus obtainable by a method or a use of the ~~invention~~ invention, the virus or the viral protein being free of any non-human mammalian proteinaceous material. This aspect of the invention is useful particularly for determining protease activity in culture medium. Culture medium is noted for being a difficult context for determining activity of a protease. However, by using a viral protein or a virus of the invention as a target for the ~~protease~~ protease, it is possible to accurately determine activity of the protease also in culture medium. In a preferred embodiment, therefore, the protease activity is determined in a sample comprising culture medium. In a preferred embodiment, the protease comprises trypsin. In a preferred embodiment, the viral protein comprises HA0.

[0083] In yet another aspect, the invention provides a method for concentrating influenza virus under conditions capable of, at least in part, preserving virus infectivity, comprising obtaining a ~~cell-cleared~~ cell-cleared supernatant comprising the virus from a culture of cells, and ultra-filtrating the supernatant under low shear conditions. Influenza virus preparations harvested from embryonal eggs typically need to be purified for the preparation of a vaccine. Purification typically entails at least one concentration step of the virus.

[0084] Current technologies for the concentration of influenza virus from such relatively crude preparations of influenza virus are cumbersome. Using a method of concentration of the invention, it is possible to concentrate influenza virus preparations under conditions that ~~maintain~~ maintain, at least in ~~part~~ part, infectivity of the virus. Preferably, virus is concentrated that is or can be made infectious. “Can be made ~~infectious~~”, infectious,” as used herein, means the generation of infectious virus through cleavage of HA0.

[0085] In a preferred embodiment, the concentration is performed using a hollow fiber. A hollow fiber is particularly suited to concentrate under low shear conditions.

[0086] In a preferred embodiment, the culture of cells ~~comprise~~ comprises *in vitro* cultured cells. Particularly suited for concentration using a method of the invention is supernatant from *in*

~~in vitro~~ cultured ~~cells~~. ~~Particularly~~ cells, particularly when the supernatant ~~comprise~~ comprises ~~serum-free~~ serum-free culture medium. In a preferred embodiment, the ultra-filtration is performed with a filter allowing single proteins to pass while retaining the virus. Preferably, the filter comprises ~~a cut-off~~ cut-off of 500 KD. More preferably, ~~the a~~ the filter comprises ~~a cut~~ cut-off of 750 KD. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the concentration further comprises at least a partial removal of proteins comprising a molecular weight smaller than 500 KD ~~and~~ and, more ~~preferably~~ preferably, smaller than 750 KD. Preferably, the purification is achieved using a mentioned filter.

[0087] In yet another aspect, the invention provides infectious influenza virus or derivatives thereof concentrated with a method of the invention. A derivative of an infectious influenza virus of the invention typically is a virus, virus particle, or viral protein or part thereof that can be used for immunization purposes. Typically, this entails a virus infectivity inactivation step.

[0088] To further illustrate the invention, the following examples are provided, which are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

## EXAMPLES

### Example 1

#### Materials and Methods

##### PER.C6 and MDCK Cell culture

[0089] MDCK cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life Technologies Breda, NL) containing 10% ~~heat-inactivated~~ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 1x L-Glutamine (Gibco-BRL), at 37°C and 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. Suspension cultures of PER.C6 were cultured in ExCell 525 (JRH Biosciences) supplemented with 1x L-Glutamine, at 37°C and 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, in stationary cultures in ~~6-well~~ six-well dishes (Greiner) or in 490 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles (Corning Costar Corp.) during continuous rotation at 1 rpm.

#### Immunofluorescence test

[0090] Direct immunofluorescence assays for the detection of Influenza virus infection were carried out using the IMAGEN<sup>TM</sup> Influenza Virus A and B kit (Dako) according to the standard protocol of the supplier. Samples were viewed microscopically using epifluorescence illumination. Infected cells were ~~characterised~~ characterized by a bright apple-green fluorescence.

#### Propidium Iodide staining

[0091] Cell pellets were resuspended in 300 µl of cold PBS/0.5% BSA + 5 µl of propidium iodide (concentration 50 µg/ml) in PBS/FCS/azide solution known to persons skilled in the art. Viable and dead cells were then detected via flow cytofluorometric analysis.

#### Hemagglutination assay

[0092] In general, hemagglutination assays for Influenza virus titers were performed according to methods known to persons skilled in the art. Here, 50 µl of a two-fold diluted virus solution in PBS was added to 25 µl PBS and 25 µl of a 1% suspension of turkey erythrocytes (Biotrading Benelux B.V.) in PBS and incubated in ~~96-well~~ 96-well microtiter plates at ~~4°C for 1 h.~~ 4°C for one hour. The hemagglutination pattern was examined and ~~scored,~~ scored and expressed as hemagglutinating units ~~(HAU's).~~ (HAUs). The number of ~~HAU's~~ HAUs corresponded to the reciprocal value of the highest virus dilution that showed complete hemagglutination.

#### Western blot analysis of the Influenza HA protein:

[0093] In general, obtained influenza viruses were disrupted in a Laemmli buffer according to methods known in the art and different volumes of obtained protein mixtures were separated using 10% SDS/PAGE gels. In brief, blots were blocked for 30 ~~min~~ minutes at room temperature with block solution (5% ~~non-fat~~ nonfat dry milk powder (Biorad) in TBST supplemented with 1% rabbit serum (Rockland), followed by 3 ~~three~~ washes with TBST. Then, the blots were incubated with the ~~anti-A/Sydney/5/97~~ anti-A/Sydney/5/97 HA antiserum (98/768 NIBSC) diluted 1/500 in 1%BSA/TBST with 5% rabbit serum (Rockland) O/N at room temperature. Again, the blots were



washed ~~8~~ eight times with TBST. Finally, the blots were incubated with the rabbit ~~anti-sheep~~ anti-sheep antiserum (~~HRP-labelled~~, HRP-labeled, Rockland) 1/6000 diluted in block solution for ~~1 h~~ one hour at room temperature. After ~~8~~ eight washes with TBST, the protein-conjugate complex was ~~visualised~~ visualized with ECL (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), and films (Hyperfilm, Amersham Life Science) were exposed. The antisera were obtained from the NIBSC (UK) and applied in dilutions recommended by the NIBSC.

#### Single Radial Immunodiffusion (SRID) assay

[0094] The concentration of hemagglutinin in supernatants, derived from influenza virus infected-PER.C6 cells, was determined by the single radial immunodiffusion (SRID) test as previously described (Wood et al. 1977). The assay was performed using standard NIBSC (UK) antigens and antisera reagents.

#### Plaque assay

[0095] A total of 1 ml of ~~10-fold~~ ten-fold serially diluted viral supernatants were inoculated on MDCK cells which were grown until 95% confluence in ~~6-well~~ six-well plates. After ~~1 h~~ one hour at 35°C, the cells were washed twice with PBS and overloaded with 3 ml of agarose mix (1.2 ml 2.5% agarose, 1.5 ml 2x MEM, 30 ml 200 mM L-Glutamine, 24 ml trypsin-EDTA, 250 ml PBS). The cells were then incubated in a humid, 10% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 35°C for approximately ~~3~~ three days and viral plaques were visually scored.

#### Virus infectivity assay (TCID<sub>50</sub>)

[0096] Titration of infectious virus was performed on MDCK cells. In brief, cells were seeded in ~~96-well~~ 96-well plates at a density of  $4 \times 10^4$  cells/well in DMEM supplemented with 2 mM L-Glutamine. Twenty-four hours later, cells were infected with 100 µl of ~~ten-fold~~ ten-fold serially diluted culture supernatants, in quadruplicate, in medium containing Trypsin-EDTA at the concentration of 4 mg/ml. Two hours after infection, cell monolayers were washed two times in PBS and incubated in medium containing trypsin for ~~7 days~~, seven days at 35°C. Supernatants from

these cultures were then tested in an HA assay. TCID<sub>50</sub> titers were calculated according to the method of Karber (1931).

#### b-propiolactone Influenza virus inactivation

[0097] For inactivation of the viruses to obtain whole-inactivated virus for the generation of vaccines derived from PER.C6, a mutation protocol known to persons skilled in the art was performed using b-propiolactone. b-propiolactone is a very effective agent widely used for the inactivation of viruses and well known in the art for its mutating effects. It modifies nucleic acid bases of the viral genome and the host cell genome and blocks replication thereafter. Following an established protocol used to prepare the whole inactivated influenza vaccine prepared on embryonated eggs, the amount of virus corresponding to approximately 400 mg of HA per strain was inactivated and used for the final vaccine formulation. Briefly, one volume of 0.3 M sodium phosphate buffer was added to ~~9~~ nine volumes of influenza virus preparation. Inactivation of the viruses was carried out adding one volume of 10% of b-propiolactone (Newall Design, UK) to 100 volumes of ~~phosphate-buffered~~ phosphate-buffered virus preparation and incubated at 20°C for ~~24 h~~ 24 hours. Inactivation of the viruses was checked by plaque assay and no plaques were detected for any of the inactivated batches (data not shown).

### **Example 2A**

#### **PER.C6 Cell Banking and Pre-culture**

[0098] Cell line PER.C6, or derivatives ~~thereof~~ thereof, were used. Cell lines were banked by a ~~two-tier~~ two-tier cell bank system. The selected cell line was banked in a research master cell bank (rMCB) which was stored in different locations. From this rMCB research, working cell banks (rWCB) were prepared as follows: an ampoule of the rMCB was ~~thawed~~, thawed and cells were propagated until enough cells are present to freeze the cells by using dry ice. Up to 500 ampoules containing 1 ml ( $1-2 \times 10^6$  cells/ml) of rWCB were stored in the ~~vapour~~ vapor phase of a liquid N<sub>2</sub> freezer.

[0099] One ampoule containing  $5 \times 10^6$  PER.C6 cells of the WCB was thawed in a water bath at 37°C. Cells were rapidly transferred into a 50 ml tube and resuspended by adding 9 ml of the suspension medium ExCell 525 (JRH Biosciences) supplemented with 1 x L-Glutamine. After ~~3 min~~ three minutes of centrifugation at 1000 rpm in a tabletop centrifuge, cells were resuspended in a final concentration of  $3 \times 10^5$  cells/ml and cultured in a T80 tissue culture ~~flask, flask~~ at 37°C, 37°C 10% CO<sub>2</sub>. Two to three days later, cells were seeded into 490 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles (Corning Costar Corp.), with a density of  $3 \times 10^5$  per ml and cultured in continuous rotation at 1 rpm.

### Example 2B

#### PER.C6 Cells as Permissive Cell Line for Influenza A Virus

[00100] PER.C6 as a human cell was not known for its ability to sustain influenza virus infection and replication. ~~It was therefore~~ was, therefore, determined whether PER.C6 cells are permissive for influenza virus infection in comparison with the dog cell line ~~MDCK,~~ MDCK that served as a positive control.

[00101] On the day before infection,  $2 \times 10^5$  MDCK cells per well were seeded in ~~6-well~~ six-well plates. Twenty-four hours later,  $4 \times 10^5$  seeded PER.C6 and the MDCK cells per well were infected with the H1N1 strain A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (titer  $3.6 \times 10^7$  ~~pfu/ml),~~ pfu/ml) (obtained from Dr. E. Claas, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands). Infection was performed at various multiplicities of infection ~~(moi's)~~ (mois) ranging from of 0.1 to 10 pfu/cell. After about 2 ~~two~~ hours of incubation at 37°C, the inoculum was removed and replaced by fresh culture medium. A direct immunofluorescence assay for the detection of influenza virus infection was performed 24 and 48 ~~h post-infection,~~ hours post-infection. The experiment showed permissiveness of PER.C6 for influenza infection, with percentages of positive cells moi-dependent and comparable with MDCK (FIG. 1).

### Example 3

#### PER.C6 Used for Influenza A Virus Propagation-

[00102] It was verified whether replication and propagation of influenza virus could be supported by PER.C6. On the day of infection, PER.C6 cells were seeded in 490 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller-bottles, bottles with the density of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/ml in a final volume of ~~40 ml~~, ml in the presence of 5 µg/ml of ~~trypsin-EDTA~~ trypsin-EDTA (Gibco-BRL). Cells were either mock inoculated or infected with the H3N2 strain A/Shenzhen/227/95 (titer  $1.5 \times 10^6$  pfu/ml) (obtained from Dr. E. Claas, Leiden University Medical Centre, The Netherlands). Infections were performed at moi  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  pfu/cell. After ~~1 h~~ one hour of incubation at 37°C, the inoculum was removed by spinning down the cells at 1500 rpm and resuspending the cells in fresh culture medium + 5 µg/ml of trypsin-EDTA. Harvest of 1.3 ml of cell suspension was carried out each day, from day 1 to day 6 post-infection. Supernatants were stored at -80°C and used for hemagglutination assays. Cell pellets were used for direct immunofluorescence tests and for propidium iodide staining.

### Example 4

#### Permissiveness of PER.C6 for Different Influenza Strains

[00103] To further investigate the permissiveness of PER.C6 for propagation of various influenza strains, an infection by using the H1N1 vaccine strains A/Beijing/262/95 and its reassortant X-127, obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC, ~~UK~~) UK, was performed. On the day of infection, PER.C6 cells were seeded in 490 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller-bottles, bottles with the density of approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in a final volume of 50 ml. Cells were inoculated with 5 µl ( $10^{-4}$  dilution) and 50 µl ( $10^{-3}$  dilution) of virus in the presence of 5 mg/ml trypsin-EDTA. In order to establish if trypsin was indeed required, one more infection was carried out by inoculating 5 µl of the strain A/Beijing/262/95 in the absence of the protease. After approximately ~~1 h~~ one hour of incubation at ~~37°C~~, 37°C, the inoculum was removed by spinning down the cells at 1500 rpm and resuspending them in fresh culture medium ± 5 mg/ml of trypsin-EDTA. At day 2 and day 4 ~~post-infection~~ post-infection, more trypsin was added to the samples. Harvest of 1.3 ml of cell suspension was carried out from day 1 to day 6 post-infection.

Supernatants were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  and used for hemagglutination assays and further infections; cell pellets were used for direct immunofluorescence tests. Results obtained with the ~~above-mentioned~~ above-mentioned immunofluorescence and hemagglutination assays are shown in FIGs. ~~4 & 5~~, 4 and 5, respectively, illustrating the efficient replication and release of the viruses.

### Example 5

#### Infectivity of Virus Propagated on PER.C6

[00104] It was verified whether the viruses grown in PER.C6 were infectious and if adaptation to the cell line could increase virus yields. Virus supernatants derived from PER.C6 infected with the strains A/Beijing/262/95 and its reassortant X-127 (dil.  $10^{-3}$ ) and harvested at day 6 ~~post-infection~~, post-infection were used. At the day of infection, PER.C6 were seeded in  $490\text{ cm}^2$  tissue culture roller bottles, with the density of approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in a final volume of 50 ml. Cells were inoculated with 100  $\mu\text{l}$  and 1 ml of virus supernatant in the presence of 5 mg/ml trypsin-EDTA. In order to establish if trypsin was still required, one more infection was carried out by inoculating 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of the strain A/Beijing/262/95 in the absence of the protease. After approximately ~~4~~ one hour of incubation at  ~~$37^{\circ}\text{C}$~~ ,  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the inoculum was removed by spinning down the cells at 1500 rpm and resuspending them in fresh culture medium  $\pm$  5 mg/ml of trypsin-EDTA. At day 2 and day 4 ~~post-infection~~ post-infection, more trypsin was added to the samples. Harvest of 1.3 ml of cell suspension was carried out from day 1 to day 6 post-infection. Supernatants were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  and used for hemagglutination assays and further infections; cell pellets were used for direct immunofluorescence tests. Results obtained with the ~~above-mentioned~~ above-mentioned immunofluorescence and hemagglutination assays are shown in FIGs. 6 and 7. Data obtained with the present experiment showed infectivity of the viruses grown in PER.C6 as well as an increase in virus yields.

## Example 6

### The Presence of Cell Surface Receptors for Influenza Virus on PER.C6.

[00105] Propagation of human Influenza A and B strains in embryonated chicken eggs leads to a selection of receptor-binding variants that harbor amino acid substitutions at the distal portion of the HA globular head in the exposed and functionally important regions of the molecule. Because of these mutations, the egg-adapted strains can differ from the original human viruses in their antigenic and immunogenic activities, as well as their virulence. Human influenza viruses isolated from MDCK cells usually present ~~a~~ an HA protein that is identical to the HA protein present on the virus of the original clinical sample. A recent study (Govorkova 1999) clarified the molecular basis for the selection of variants in chicken eggs and the absence of this variant selection phenomenon in MDCK cells. All human Influenza A and B strains isolated from MDCK cells were found to bind with high affinity and specificity for alpha2,6 sialic acid-galactose linkages present in oligosaccharides present in cell surface receptors, whereas their egg-grown counterparts showed an increased affinity for the alpha2,3 sialic acid-galactose linkages in cell surface receptors carrying oligosaccharides (Sia2-3Gal). Using specific lectins, it was demonstrated that only Sia2-3Gal-containing receptors were present on the surface of chicken embryonic cells, whereas MDCK cells expressed both Sia2-6Gal and Sia2-3Gal. The expression of the Sia2-3Gal and Sia2-6Gal moieties on the surface of PER.C6 cells ~~were~~ was studied by FACS analysis, using two different ~~digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled~~ digoxigenin- (DIG-) labeled lectins: Sambuca nigra agglutinin (SNA) that specifically ~~recognises~~ recognizes Sia2-6Gal linkages and the Maackia amurensis agglutinin (MAA), that specifically ~~recognises~~ recognizes Sia2-3Gal linkages. FIG. 8A shows the recognition of the SNA and MAA lectins and their binding to the glycosylation sites. Furthermore, FIG. 8A shows the schematic interaction between the ~~FITC-labelled~~ FITC-labeled anti-DIG antibody and the ~~DIG-labelled~~ DIG-labeled lectin that ~~recognises~~ recognizes the specific sialyl bond in the glycosylation backbone of the receptor present on the cell surface. Both lectins were taken from the glycan differentiation kit (Boehringer-La Roche).

[00106] The experiment was carried out on PER.C6 cells in suspension and adherent MDCK and CHO cells. MDCK and CHO cells were released from the solid support using trypsin-EDTA

(Gibco-BRL). The cell suspensions were then washed once with Mem-5% FBS and incubated in this medium for ~~1~~ one hour at 37°C. After washing with PBS (Gibco-BRL), the cells were resuspended to a concentration of approximately 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in binding medium (Tris-buffered saline, pH 7.5, 0.5% BSA, and 1 mM each of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub> and CaCl<sub>2</sub>). Cell aliquots were incubated for ~~1 h~~ one hour at room ~~temp.~~ temperature with the ~~DIG-labelled~~ DIG-labeled lectins SNA and MAA. After ~~1 h~~ one hour, lectin-treated cells were washed with PBS and incubated for an additional hour at room temperature with FITC-labeled anti-DIG antibody (Boehringer-Mannheim). Finally, the cells were washed with PBS and ~~analysed~~ analyzed by ~~fluorescence-activated~~ fluorescence-activated cell sorting using a FAC-sort apparatus (Becton Dickinson). The results shown in FIG. 8B demonstrate that PER.C6 cells were stained by both lectins showing the presence of the Sia2-6Gal as well as the Sia2-3Gal receptors.

[00107] In the same experiment, MDCK cells were used as positive control for both the sialylated receptors, whereas CHO cells, due to the absence of the alpha 2-6 sialyltransferase glycosylation enzyme in these hamster cells, represented a negative control for the Sia2-6Gal moiety. The upper panels show results with the SNA lectin and the lower panels showing results with the MAA lectin. From these results, it can be concluded that PER.C6 expresses cell surface proteins that have both Sia2-3Gal and Sia2-6Gal linkages in their oligosaccharide chains.

### Example 7

#### **Effect of Different Concentrations of Trypsin-EDTA on the Viability of PER.C6 Cells, on the Influenza Virus Production in PER.C6 Cells and on the Ha Protein Derived Thereof:**

[00108] Due to the absolute trypsin requirement for the propagation of influenza viruses in cell cultures, the effects of different concentrations of trypsin-EDTA on PER.C6 cell viability and virus replication in PER.C6 cells after infection using several Influenza strains were investigated.

Infection with Influenza virus strain A/Sydney/5/97 in the presence of low concentrations of trypsin

[00109] On the day of infection, PER.C6 cells were seeded in 490 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles, ~~bottles~~ at a density of  $1 \times 10^6$  ~~cells/ml~~, cells/ml in the presence of trypsin-EDTA at final concentrations of 0.5, 1, 2, 3 and 5 mg/ml.

[00110] These trypsin concentrations did not interfere with the growth characteristics of the cells and their viability (data not shown). Cells were ~~either~~, either mock infected or infected with PER.C6-grown Influenza virus A/Sydney/5/97 at an moi of  $10^{-4}$  pfu/cell. The viral production was monitored by direct immunofluorescence (data not shown), hemagglutination assays, single-radial-immunodiffusion (SRID) above and plaque assays, all as described above. Results from this experiment are depicted in FIG. 9 and show that the HA content as measured by ~~SRID~~ SRID, as well as the biological activity of the ~~virus~~, virus expressed in HAU, were highest when a trypsin concentration of 1 mg/ml was used. FIG. 9 also shows that by using a plaque assay the highest number of plaque forming units (pfu) per ml was observed in the sample corresponding to cells grown in medium containing 2 mg/ml of trypsin.

Infection with Influenza virus strain B/Harbin/7/94.

[00111] On the day of infection, PER.C6 cells were seeded in 490 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture roller bottles at a density of  $1 \times 10^6$  ~~cells/ml~~, cells/ml in the presence of different concentrations of ~~trypsin-EDTA~~, trypsin-EDTA ranging from 1 to 5 mg/ml. Cells were infected with PER.C6-grown virus B/Harbin/7/94 at an moi of  $10^{-3}$  pfu/cell. Production of the virus was monitored by direct immunofluorescence, hemagglutination and plaque assays as shown in FIG. 10. The infectability of PER.C6 at day 2 increased with the concentration of trypsin. At day ~~3~~ 3, however, no significant difference was observed in the percentage of infected cells when 1, 2.5 or 5 mg/ml trypsin was present. In the absence of trypsin (0 µg/ml), no influenza virus infection was detected. At the day of the last harvest (day 4 post-infection), the biological activity of the virus, as measured by hemagglutination assay, did not differ significantly. Interestingly, the infectivity assay performed in samples that were taken at days 3 and 4 after ~~infection~~, infection showed a difference in the



production of the virus. The highest titers were obtained at day 3 and day 4 when a trypsin concentration of 2.5 to 5 (day 3) and 1 mg/ml (day 4) were used.

#### Infection with Influenza virus reassortant X-127:

[00112] On the day of infection, PER.C6 cells were seeded in T25 tissue culture ~~flasks,~~ flasks at a density of  $1 \times 10^6$  ~~cells/ml,~~ cells/ml in the presence of different concentrations of trypsin-EDTA ranging from 0 to 7.5 mg/ml. Cells were infected with PER.C6-grown virus X-127 (egg-reassortant for the strain A/Beijing/262/95) at an moi of  $10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-3}$  pfu/cell. Viral growth was monitored by direct immunofluorescence, hemagglutination and plaque assays. As shown in FIG. 11 and FIG. 12, HAU titers were identical between samples, independent of the trypsin concentration and the initial moi that was used. Furthermore, no significant differences were observed in the infectivity ~~titers,~~ titers as measured by plaque assay.

#### Infection of PER.C6 with Influenza virus strain A/Sydney/5/97 in the presence of high concentrations of trypsin

[00113] To test the effect of increasing concentrations of trypsin on viability of the cells and virus replication, PER.C6 cells were seeded in roller bottles at a density of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in the presence of various concentrations of trypsin-EDTA ranging from 0 to 12.5  $\mu$ g/ml. Cells were either mock infected or infected with ~~PER.C6-grown~~ PER.C6-grown virus A/Sydney/5/97 virus at an moi of  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  pfu/cell. HAU's ~~present~~ presence in the obtained batches were determined as described. Importantly, data depicted in FIG. 13 clearly show that trypsin concentrations up to 10  $\mu$ g/ml do not interfere with the cell viability. Moreover, the biological activity of the virus obtained at day 4 after infection as measured by HAU was higher when a trypsin concentration of 2.5 to 5  $\mu$ g/ml was used. Furthermore, the TCID<sub>50</sub> was measured (FIG. 14, graph portion A) and plaque assays were performed (data not shown). No relevant differences were found in these plaque assays, in the infectivity titers (TCID<sub>50</sub>), in the HA cleavage and quantity (approximately 10  $\mu$ g/ml) as determined by western blot analysis shown in FIG. 14B.

### Example 8

#### **Influenza virus production on PER.C6 cells in a hollow fiber-perfusion bioreactor system.**

[00114] The scalability of influenza virus production in suspension growing PER.C6 cells was studied by using ~~3-liter~~ 3-liter (total volume) bioreactors containing a 2 liter cell suspension volume in ~~serum free~~ serum-free medium, which is also free of ~~animal or human derived~~ animal- or human-derived proteins (ExCell 525, JRH Biosciences).

[00115] Influenza infection was carried out at a cell density of approximately  $3 \times 10^6$  cells/ml. Cells were inoculated with PER.C6-grown A/Sydney/5/97 virus, at an moi of  $10^4$  pfu/cell. Samples of 5 to 10 ml of cell suspensions were taken every day to perform general cell counts, to determine the viability of the cells, for glucose concentration measurements, for direct immunofluorescence, for hemagglutination and for infectivity assays. The results of these experiments are shown in FIG. 15.

[00116] To investigate the presence and the status of the HA protein western blots using two different anti-HA antibodies obtained from NIBSC were used. SRID assays as described above were also performed. The results depicted in the two western blots in FIG. 16 show that the Influenza virus batch produced in this bioreactor yielded an HA content of an estimated concentration of 15 µg/ml which was confirmed by SRID assays. The HA produced is comparable to reference NIBSC HA in terms of subunit composition and immune reactivity with the ~~reference-subtype-specific~~ subtype-specific antisera.

### Example 9

#### **Infection of PER.C6 with A/Sydney/5/97 in a 15 liter bioreactor followed by a specific Down Stream Process (DSP):**

[00117] Suspension growing PER.C6 cells ~~were~~ was incubated at 37°C in a ~~15-liter~~ 15-liter bioreactor hollow fiber perfusion system, with a cell suspension volume of ~~10-liter~~ liters in serum-free ExCell 525 medium (JRH Biosciences). Influenza infection was carried out at 35°C at a cellular density of approximately  $3.3 \times 10^6$  cells/ml in medium containing 5 mg/ml trypsin-EDTA (Life Technologies). Cells were inoculated with PER.C6-grown A/Sydney/5/97 virus (passage

number 3) at an moi of  $10^{-4}$  pfu/cell. Perfusion with serum-free ExCell 525 medium containing trypsin-EDTA was continued during the first 24 ~~h~~ hours upon infection. Two days post-infection, cells were fed with a fed-batch solution containing glucose, essential amino acids and extra glutamine: 82 ml per liter suspension containing 50 m/v% glucose (NPBI-The Netherlands), 50 x essential ammino acids without Gln (Gibco-BRL-Life Technologies) and 200 mM glutamine (Gibco-BRL-Life Technologies). Cell suspension samples of 10 ml were taken every day in order to perform standard cell counts (results shown in FIG. 17, left graph), glucose concentration measurements (results shown in FIG. 17, right graph), direct immunofluorescence (FIG. 18), hemagglutination (FIG. 19) and infectivity assays (data not shown). Furthermore, the HA protein was investigated by western blot analysis and compared ~~to a~~ to an NIBSC standard HA control (FIG. 20). On the day of the final harvest of the entire cell suspension (92 ~~h post infection~~), hours post-infection), a cell debris clarification was performed in a continuous flow at ~~20,000g~~ 20,000 g using the Powerfuge™ separation system (Carr, JM Separations) according to the protocols provided by the manufacturer. Clarified supernatant was then concentrated ~~twenty fold~~ twenty-fold using a hollow fiber membrane cartridge of 500 kD ~~cut-off~~ cut-off (A/G Technology, JM Separations). The results depicted in FIG. 21 show that the quantitative recovery of live influenza virus after concentration by hollow fiber as measured by hemagglutination and infectivity assays is very significant.

### Example 10

#### **The Immunogenicity of PER.C6-grown Influenza Viruses and Vaccines Derived Therefrom.**

[00118] To determine the immunogenicity of ~~PER.C6-grown~~ PER.C6-grown influenza ~~viruses~~ viruses, an *in vivo* study and challenging model in ferrets was designed. Two batches of trivalent whole-inactivated influenza vaccine (composed of A/Sydney/5/97, A/Beijing/262/95 and B/Harbin/7/94), containing 15 µg HA of each of the three strains, were used. The first batch was obtained from fertile hens' eggs and the second was obtained from PER.C6 cells. Production, purification, inactivation and formulation of the trivalent whole-inactivated PER.C6-derived Influenza vaccines were performed as described below.

Growth of A/Sydney/5/97, A/Beijing/262/95 and B/Harbin/7/94 Influenza strains on PER.C6:

[00119] Production of all three influenza viral batches were performed in three separate ~~3-liter~~ 3-liter hollow fiber fed-batch bioreactor systems with cell suspension volumes of ~~2-liter~~ 3-liter. ~~Fed batch~~ Fed-batch was performed with the addition of the following solution: A total volume of 96 ml containing 50\_m/v% glucose (NPBI), 50\_x essential amino acids without Gln (Gibco-BRL-Life Technologies), 200 mM glutamine (Gibco-BRL-Life Technologies) and 7.5 m/v% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (Merck) was added once. Influenza infections were carried out at cell densities ranging from  $1.8 \times 10^6$  to  $2.6 \times 10^6$  viable cells/ml, in ExCell 525 ~~serum-free~~ serum-free medium containing 5 mg/ml trypsin-EDTA. PER.C6 cells were inoculated with the PER.C6-grown A/Sydney/5/97, A/Beijing/262/95 and B/Harbin/7/94 virus batches at different ~~moi's~~ mois:  $10^{-4}$  (A/Sydney/5/97) or  $10^{-3}$  (A/Beijing/262/95 and B/Harbin/7/94) pfu/cell. During the virus production period, samples of 10 ml were taken every day to perform standard cell and viability counts, glucose concentration measurements, direct immunofluorescence and Hemagglutination assays. FIG. 22 (results from the A/Sydney/5/97-infected PER.C6 cells) shows the total and viability cell counts after infection with the virus (upper left panel), the glucose consumption (upper right panel), the percentage of positive cells in the direct immunofluorescence detection (lower left panel) and the ~~HAU's~~ HAUs (lower right panel). FIG. 23 (results from the A/Beijing/262/95-infected PER.C6 cells) shows the total and viability cell counts after infection with the virus (upper left panel), the glucose consumption (upper right panel), the percentage of positive cells in the direct immunofluorescence detection (lower left panel) and the ~~HAU's~~ HAUs (lower right panel). FIG. 24 (results from the B/Harbin/7/94-infected PER.C6 cells) shows the total and viability cell counts after infection with the virus (upper left panel), the glucose consumption (upper right panel), the percentage of positive cells in the direct immunofluorescence detection (lower left panel) and the ~~HAU's~~ HAUs (lower right panel). ~~Virus containing~~ Virus-containing concentrates were stored at -80°C until DSP.

[00120] In all three cases, the glucose ~~consumption and~~ consumption, viability and total cell counts of the PER.C6 cells were comparable. ~~Also~~ Also, the production levels of the three viruses, as measured by direct ~~immunofluorescence~~ immunofluorescence, were similar. Although the HAU

and infectivity titers differed between different strains, PER.C6 sustained replication of all influenza viruses that were tested here.

[00121] On the day of harvest of the entire batch (either at day 3 or at day 4 ~~post-infection~~ post-infection), viral supernatants were clarified by centrifugation at 2000 rpm in a table top centrifuge and concentrated ~~ten-fold~~ ten-fold by ~~ultra-filtration~~ ultra-filtration using a hollow fiber membrane cartridge of 750 kD cut-off (A/G Technology, JM Separations) following the protocols provided by the manufacturer. Influenza viruses were purified from the concentrated supernatants via two subsequent density centrifugation steps: a 25-70% block sucrose gradient ~~(1.5hrs)~~ (1.5 hours at 27K) followed by a continuous 25-70% sucrose gradient ~~(4hrs)~~ (four hours at 23K). Viral bands were diluted in approximately 50 ml of a Phosphate buffer and finally pelleted at 24,000 rpm in an ultracentrifuge. Viral pellets were dissolved in 1.5 to 2.3 ml of a Phosphate buffer, aliquoted and frozen at -80°C.

[00122] The formulation of inactivated Influenza vaccines is based on the amount (in micrograms) of the “immunologically active” HA protein, as measured by the SRID assay (Wood et al. 1977). The test was performed to characterize the HA content of the batches. At the ~~same-time~~ time, total amount of proteins was measured using the Lowry-based DC-protein assay kit (Biorad) following the procedures suggested by the manufacturer. It was found that HA constitutes about 20 to 30% of the total protein content of the virus preparation.

### Example 11

#### ***In vivo Immunogenicity of Inactivated Vaccines Produced in Eggs and on PER.C6.***

[00123] Ferrets and mice represent two well-established animal models for studying influenza ~~infection,~~ infection and have been used to determine the efficacy and immunogenicity of influenza vaccines. Using the mouse model test system, the immunogenicity produced by the PER.C6 and egg-derived trivalent vaccines containing A/Sydney/5/97, A/Beijing/262/95 and B/Harbin/7/94 are compared by analyzing sera of vaccinated animals by Hemagglutination inhibition assay. Using the ferret infection model, immunization is followed by a challenge with

A/Sydney/5/97. Virus recovery on MDCK cells and Hemagglutination inhibition assay performed on the sera are used to compare the immunogenicity and efficacy of the two vaccines.

In vivo study in mice.

[00124] Ninety female Balb/C mice are divided into nine groups of ten mice. On day 0, up to 100 ml of blood is collected. The serum is separated and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Each mouse is then vaccinated with the appropriate vaccine according to the schedule in Table I. On day 28, a further 100 ml of blood is taken. Serum is stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Each mouse is again vaccinated according to the schedule in Table I. On day 42, a 100 ml blood sample is taken and all mice are sacrificed. Serum is separated and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Hemagglutination Inhibition (HI) assays are conducted on serum samples from day 0, 28 and 42. All these assays are conducted in parallel for each day for both ~~egg and cell grown~~ egg- and cell-grown viruses.

[00125] Table I

Immunogenicity test in mice.

GROUP NUMBER	ANTIGEN TYPE	IMMUNIZATION VOLUME (ml)	VACCINATION ROUTE	TOTAL mg HA per dose
1	Egg trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	9.0
2	Egg trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	3.0
3	Egg trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	1.5
4	Egg trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	0.15
5	PER.C6 trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	9.0
6	PER.C6 trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	3.0
7	PER.C6 trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	1.5
8	PER.C6 trivalent whole virion	0.5	s.c.	0.15
9	PBS	0.5	s.c.	0

~~In~~ In vivo study in ferrets:

[00126] Eighteen adult female ferrets (albino or polecat) were divided in three groups of six divided as follows: Group 1 received the egg-derived test vaccine intramuscularly (IM), the animals were challenged with A/Sydney/5/97. Group 2 received the ~~PER.C6 derived~~ PER.C6-derived test

vaccine IM, the animals were challenged with A/Sydney/5/97. Group 3 received the test vaccine diluent only and were challenged with A/Sydney/5/97. On days 0 and 28, the test vaccines were administered. On day 56, all the ferrets were infected intranasally with 0.5 ml of the A/Sydney/5/97 challenge virus at TCID<sub>50</sub> 10<sup>3</sup>. Nasal washes were performed and inflammatory cell counts, temperature and weights of the ferrets were monitored once daily from day 57 to 63. All animals were sacrificed on day 63. Serum was separated and stored at -20°C. The nasal wash samples were stored on ice and a nasal wash recovery cell count was performed using Trypan blue exclusion assay.

[00127] The titer of the virus obtained from the nasal wash samples was determined by measuring the virus recovery on MDCK cells. The Spearman and Karber (1931) calculation was used to calculate TCID<sub>50</sub> values. Hemagglutination inhibition analyses were conducted on serum samples taken on day 0, 28, 56 and 63. From this experiment, it was concluded that the ~~PER.C6 derived~~ PER.C6-derived test vaccine was effective.

### **Example 12**

#### **Characterization of HA protein derived from Influenza virus produced on PER.C6-**

[00128] In order to study the glycosylation of HA in PER.C6 cells, a batch of uncleaved HA (HA0) was generated. PER.C6 cells were infected with virus A/Sydney/5/97 (passage number 5 on PER.C6) at ~~moi's mois~~ moi's of 1, 0.1 and 0.01 pfu/cell in ExCell 525 medium containing trypsin-EDTA at the final concentration of 5 mg/ml. After ~~1 h~~ one hour of incubation at 35°C, cells were washed twice with PBS to remove trypsin and incubated O/N at 35°C and 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, in the absence of trypsin. The next day, cell suspensions were harvested and centrifuged (500g) and cell pellets were washed twice with medium. Viral supernatants were frozen at -80°C and samples thereof were used in western blot assays as described to investigate the presence or absence of uncleaved HA protein. Uncleaved HA protein (HA0) consists of the two subunits: HA1 and HA2, that are connected via a disulfide bond. Since this disulfide bond can be disrupted by reduction with DTT, HA1 and HA2 can be separated and visualized on a reducing gel followed by western blots using antisera that recognize the subunits. If the HA protein consists only of HA0, one band will be visible that migrates slower through an SDS/PAGE gel as compared to the HA1 subunit and the fastest

migrating HA2 subunit. The results shown in FIG. 25 suggest the presence of mainly uncleaved HA0 from PER.C6 infections when compared to the egg-derived positive control that was obtained from the NIBSC (UK). To confirm that the band detected was indeed uncleaved hemagglutinin, ~~digested~~ an HA0 sample was digested with different concentrations of trypsin ranging from 2.5 to 10 µg/ml in medium O/N at 37°C. The digested proteins were then loaded under reducing conditions on an SDS/PAGE gel followed by western blot analysis using the same antisera as described for FIG. 14. As shown in FIG. 26A, cleavage of the HA0 could be achieved, confirming the generation of uncleaved HA protein on PER.C6. Based on these results, an assay to determine trypsin activity in culture medium, using Influenza HA0 as substrate is developed.

#### Trypsin activity assay

[00129] To determine whether trypsin, present in the culture medium of an Influenza production run is still active, a trypsin activity assay has been developed. This assay is based on the measurement of the enzymatic activity of trypsin to cleave the substrate that is most relevant for influenza vaccine production: the HA0.

[00130] It was determined whether, in a culture of PER.C6 inoculated with Influenza B/Harbin/7/94 (moi  $10^{-3}/10^{-4}$  pfu/cell), the trypsin remained active over the entire production run. To this end, 10 µl of supernatant taken at day 1, 2 and 3 ~~post-infection~~ post-infection were used to cleave 68 ng of the substrate that consisted of HA0 of Influenza A Sydney/5/97 virus, O/N at 37°C. Following digestion, protease inhibitors were added to a final concentration of 1\_x-~~(Complete~~ (complete protease inhibitor cocktail, Boehringer Mannheim) in 3\_x Laemli buffer with 150 mM DTT (Fluka). The samples were loaded on a 10% Tris-HCL SDS/PAGE gel (Biorad) and run. The western blot was performed as described. The results are shown in FIG. 26B, and demonstrate that in cultures of PER.C6 inoculated with Influenza B/Harbin-~~virus~~ virus, trypsin remained active during the entire production ~~run~~, run as culture supernatants were able to cleave HA0 completely.



### Example 13

#### Digestion of HA0 with N-Glycosidase F

[00131] The influenza virus HA protein is a glycoprotein that contains ~~3 to 9~~ three to nine N-linked glycosylation oligosaccharide sites. The number of sites depends on the virus strain. The location of these sites is determined by the nucleotide sequence of the HA ~~gene,~~ gene and since the viral genome of Influenza is replicated by an error-prone RNA polymerase, mutations that generate the addition or removal of glycosylation sites occur at high frequency. The composition and structure of the oligosaccharide chains present on the HA is then determined by the array of biosynthetic and trimming glycosylation enzymes provided by the host cell. Since glycosylation of HA plays an important role in virulence and vaccine efficacy, the properties of HA produced on Influenza infected PER.C6 was investigated. A digestion of A/Sydney/5/97 uncleaved HA0 protein with the N-glycosydase F enzyme was performed using protocols provided by the manufacturer to remove the seven oligosaccharides expected to be present on the A/Sydney/5/97 HA polypeptide. Influenza A/Sydney/5/97 was lysed with 1% Triton X-100 (Merck). Protease inhibitor was added to an aliquot of this lysed virus corresponding to 68 ng of HA, to a final concentration of 1\_x (Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Boehringer Mannheim). This sample was incubated in the presence of 100 mM NaPO<sub>4</sub> pH 7, 10\_mM EDTA (J.T. Baker), 1% SDS (J.T. Baker) and 1% B-mercaptoethanol (Merck). This was incubated for ~~10 min-~~ ten minutes at room temperature. The sample was diluted ~~5-~~ five times in mM NaPO<sub>4</sub> pH 7, 10\_mM EDTA (J.T. Baker), 0.625% NP-40 and 1% B-mercaptoethanol (Merck). Of this, 40 µl was used for the glyco-F digestion. For this, 2 µl 1\_U/µl of glyco-F (N-Glycosidase F, Boehringer) was added and incubated for a minimum period of 16-~~h~~ hours at 37°C. Then 3\_x Laemli buffer with 150 mM DTT (Fluka) was added to a final concentration of 1\_x. The samples were run on a 7.5% SDS/PAGE gel. The SDS-Page and western blot were performed as follows. In brief, the blot was blocked for 30-~~min-~~ minutes at room temperature with block solution (5%-~~non-fat~~ nonfat dry milk powder, Biorad in TBST supplemented with 1% rabbit serum (~~Rockland~~), (Rockland) followed by ~~3-~~ three washes with TBST. Then, the blot was incubated with the anti- A/Sydney/5/97 HA antiserum (98/768 NIBSC) diluted 1/500 in 1%\_BSA/TBST with 5% rabbit serum (Rockland) overnight at room temperature. Again, the blot

was washed ~~8~~ eight times with TBST. Finally, the blot was incubated with the rabbit ~~anti-sheep~~ antiserum ~~HRP-labelled~~ anti-sheep antiserum-HRP-labeled (Rockland) 1/6000 diluted in block solution for ~~1 h~~ one hour at room temperature. After ~~8~~ eight washes with ~~TBST~~ TBST, the protein-conjugate complex was visualized with ECL (Amersham Pharmacia ~~Biotech~~), Biotech) and films (Hyperfilm, Amersham Life Science) were exposed. As shown in FIG. 27, treatment with the glycosidase-F enzyme clearly reduced the size of the protein with approximately 28-30\_kD, being approximately the predicted loss of about 4 kD per oligosaccharide. The protein band depicted with ~~an asterisks~~ asterisk (\*) is the de-glycosylated HA0, HA0 that migrates similarly to the HA1 subunit product obtained after cleavage of HA0 into HA1 and HA2 subunits (right lanes).

#### **Example 14**

##### **Cleavage of HA0 with Accutase:**

[00132] The possibility of replacing the mammalian-derived trypsin-EDTA with non-mammalian or recombinant proteins was investigated. Recently, a mixture of proteolytic and collagenolytic enzymes (Accutase<sup>TM</sup>, PAA) from invertebrate species became available for routine cell culture. Due to its non-mammalian source, Accutase is free of prions, parvovirus, and other components that potentially can contaminate trypsin-EDTA solutions. No information regarding the type of proteases present in Accutase could be obtained to date. The cleavage of HA0 was studied using western blot analysis. A constant amount of HA0 protein, obtained by PER.C6 infected with A/Sydney/5/97 at an moi 1 pfu per cell without trypsin, was digested with serial dilutions of Accutase, O/N at 37°C. As a ~~positive-control~~ control, the same amount of HA0 digested with 100 ng of trypsin-EDTA was used. The digested proteins were then loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel, under reducing conditions, for western blot analysis. As shown in FIG. ~~28~~ 28, digestion with 2 ml of Accutase treatment resulted in complete cleavage of HA0; partial cleavage was observed using 0.2\_ml. These results suggest that treatment with Accutase during influenza replication and production can replace trypsin-EDTA during influenza infections on PER.C6.

### Example 15

#### Electron Microscopy Analysis of Influenza Viruses on PER.C6 cells.

[00133] Transmission electron microscopy studies were done on PER.C6 cells that were infected with the influenza strain ~~A/Sydney/5/97~~ A/Sydney/5/97, as well as on ~~viral-containing~~ viral-containing supernatants and sucrose purified ~~material~~ material, to determine the phenotype of this influenza virus produced on PER.C6. All methods that were used are well known to persons skilled in the art. FIG. 29 shows that the last stages of the virus life cycle are represented by budding and release of enveloped virions from the cytoplasmic membrane. Spikes corresponding to the HA and NA viral proteins were detected, ornamenting the periphery of the virion particles. The figure also shows the characteristic pleiomorphism of influenza viruses.

### Example 16

#### Infection of PER.C6 with a Large Variety of Influenza A and B Virus Strains

[00134] The use of PER.C6 as a platform technology for the production of influenza vaccine would preferably require PER.C6 to support the growth of a wide range of strains of different influenza subtypes.

[00135] Static suspension cultures of PER.C6 cells that were grown in T25 flasks and/or in ~~6 well~~ six-well plates in ExCell 525 medium, were infected at a cell density of  $10^6$  cells/ml with 16 different strains of influenza viruses (FIG. 30A). These strains comprised several H3N2, H1N1, B type and Avian strains. Infections were performed in the presence of 5  $\mu$ g/ml of trypsin. The viruses were obtained from NIBSC as egg-passaged wt or reassortant strains and are noted. Infection was performed with a virus dilution recommended by the NIBSC in the product sheets that were delivered with the different strains. All viruses tested were capable of propagation on PER.C6 as visualized by immunofluorescence (data not shown) and titration of supernatant fluids in pfu assay (FIG. 30B).

[00136] These results show that even influenza strains (depicted by an ~~asterisks~~), asterisk), such as A/Johannesburg/33/94, B/Beijing/184/93 and A/Duck/Singapore-Q/F119-3/97, which are

normally very difficult to produce on embryonated eggs, can replicate and be produced on PER.C6 cells.

### Example 17

#### Generation of Herpes Simplex type 1 (HSV-1) virus, Herpes Simplex type 2 (HSV-2) virus and Measles virus on PER.C6

[00137] It was tested whether viruses other than influenza virus and adenovirus, for example, Herpes Simplex Virus type 1 and 2 and measles virus, could also replicate on PER.C6. Vaccines that are derived from these PER.C6-grown viruses and that induce neutralizing effects in humans for protection against ~~wt-infections~~ infections, are generated from the PER.C6-grown virus batches. The strains that were obtained from ATCC and used for infection of PER.C6 cells are depicted in Table II.

[00138] Table II

Herpes simplex virus and Measles strains that were obtained from the ATCC and that were used for infection of PER.C6 cells.

Virus	Strain	ATCC cat no.	Lot no.	Passage history	Titer
Herpes Simplex Type 1	Macintyre	VR-539	1327850	y.s./12, PR RabK/5, Mb/1, PrRabK/5, Vero/4, Vero(ATCC CCI-81)/1	$10^{6.75}$ TCID <sub>50</sub> /200 $\mu$ l
Herpes Simplex Type 2	MS	VR-540	216463	Sheep choroid plexus/?, HeLa/?, PrRabK/7, Vero(ATCC CCI-81)/3	$10^{7.5}$ TCID <sub>50</sub> /200 $\mu$ l
Measles	Edmonston	VR-24	215236	HK/24, HuAm/40, MRC-5/1, MRC-5(ATCC CCL-171)/1	$10^4$ TCID <sub>50</sub> /ml

[00139] To test whether ~~HSV-1 and~~ HSV-1, HSV-2 and measles viruses obtained from the ATCC could replicate and be produced on PER.C6, passage number 46 cells were seeded in Labtek chambers, coated with Poly-L-Lysine using known methods, at  $10^5$  cells/well. Monkey-derived Vero cells (obtained from ATCC) were cultured at passage number 137 and were used as positive controls and seeded at a density of  $2.5 \times 10^4$  cells/well. At day 0, when wells with PER.C6 cells were 60% and Vero cells 80% confluent, cells were infected with different ~~moi's~~ mois ( $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-1}$  and 1 TCID<sub>50</sub> per cell). At daily intervals upon infection, cells were fixed and assayed in immunofluorescence using FITC-conjugated ~~type-specific~~ type-specific monoclonal antibodies using a kit (Imagen Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) Type 1 and 2, ~~(Dako)~~ Dako and FITC-conjugated antibodies against the HA and matrix protein of measles virus (measles IFA kit, Light diagnostics), following the procedures suggested by the manufacturer. The antisera are directed against HSV-1 and -2 and Measles virus antigens.

[00140] The results summarized in FIG. 31 show that PER.C6 is permissive for HSV-1 (FIG. 31, portion D), HSV-2 (FIG. 31, portion E) and measles virus (FIG. 31, portion A) infections. Furthermore, the kinetics suggest that these viruses replicate on PER.C6 in an moi-dependent manner.

[00141] Next, it was investigated whether HSV-1, -2 and measles virus could be propagated on PER.C6. To this end, cells were infected with moi of 0.01, 0.1 and 1 TCID<sub>50</sub>/cell for HSV-1 (FIG. 32 lower portion) and HSV-2 (FIG. 32 upper portion) and an moi of 0.001 TCID<sub>50</sub>/cell for measles virus (FIG. 32 middle portion) (passage number 1). At the occurrence of almost complete CPE, cells and supernatants were harvested, quickly frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>, and thawed. After this, clarified supernatants were passaged blindly using approximately ~~100  $\mu$ l~~, 100  $\mu$ l to PER.C6 (this is passage number 2). After reaching almost complete CPE again, a third passage (passage number 3) was performed in a similar manner. The ~~moi's~~ mois of the passage number 2 and 3 were determined in retrospect by TCID<sub>50</sub> assays.

[00142] The results of these experiments show that Herpes Simplex Virus type 1 and -2 and Measles viruses can be replicated on PER.C6 and that replication and propagation can even occur when ~~moi's~~ mois as low as  $10^{-7}$  are used.

### Example 18

#### Screening of Rotavirus for Replication on PER.C6:

[00143] To test whether PER.C6 could also support the replication of a rotavirus, PER.C6 cells were infected with a rhesus rotavirus (MMU 18006; ATCC#VR-954; strain S:USA:79:2; lot # 2181153). PER.C6 cells (passage number 41) were cultured at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  per ~~ml~~, ml and monkey-derived Vero cells (obtained from ATCC, passage number 139) were cultured at a density of  $2.5 \times 10^4$  per ~~ml~~, ml and subsequently seeded in Labtek ~~chambers~~, chambers that had been pre-coated with poly-L-Lysine as previously identified. Cells were infected with an moi of 1 TCID<sub>50</sub>/cell of Rhesus rotavirus in the presence and absence of 2 µg/ml of trypsin-EDTA. After 90 ~~min~~ minutes of infection, cells were washed with ExCell 525 medium and further incubated at 37°C at 10% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified atmosphere. On ~~5~~ five consecutive days following infection, samples of supernatants were harvested, clarified from cells and cell debris by centrifugation at 2000 rpm in a table top centrifuge and ~~analysed~~ analyzed in an ELISA specific for rotavirus (IDEIA Rotavirus, Dako). The results depicted in FIG. 33 clearly show that Rhesus rotavirus replicates on PER.C6.

[00144] Although the invention has been described with a particular amount of detail and with respect to particular examples, the scope of the invention is to be determined by the appended claims.

## REFERENCES

- Bachmayer H. ~~Selective solubilisation of haemagglutinin~~ solubilization of hemagglutinin and neuraminidase from Influenza virus. *Intervirology* 1975; 5:260-272.
- Brands R., ~~A.M. Palache-AM~~, ~~G.J.M. van Scharrenburg-GJM~~. Madin Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK)-cells for the production of inactivated Influenza subunit vaccine. Safety characteristics and clinical results in the elderly. In: Brown L.E., E.W. Hampson-EW, R.G. Webster-RG, editors. Option for the control of Influenza III. *Amsterdam Elsevier*, 1996. P. 683-693.
- Brands R., ~~A.M. Palache-AM~~, ~~G.J.M. van Scharrenburg-GJM~~. Development of Influenza subunit vaccine produced using mammalian cell culture technology. ~~In~~ In M.J.T. Carrondo-MJT, B. Griffiths-B, J.L.P. Moreira-JLP, editors. Animal cell technology: from vaccines to genetic medicine. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997:165-167.
- Gubareva L.V., J.M. Wood-JM, W.J. Meyer-WJ, J.M. Katz-JM, J.S. Robertson-JS, D. Major D, R.G. Webster-RG. Co-dominant mixtures of viruses in strains of Influenza virus due to host cell variation. *Virol.* 1994; 199:89-97.
- Govorkova E.A., M.N. Matrosovich-MN, A.B. Tuzikov-AB, N.V. Bovin-NV, C. Gerdil-C, B. Fanget-B, R.G. Webster-RG. Selection of receptor-binding variants of human Influenza A and B viruses in baby hamster kidney cells. *Virology* 1999 15; 262(1):31-8
- Herrero-Euribe L. et al. Replication of Influenza A and B viruses in human diploid cells. *J. Gen. Virol.* 1983; 64:471-475.
- Karber G. Beitrag zur kollektiven behandlung pharmakologischer reihenversuche. *Exp. Pathol. Pharmacol.* 1931; 162, 480-483.
- Kodihalli S., D.M. Justewicz-DM, L.V. Gubareva-LV, R.G. Webster-RG. Selection of a single amino acid substitution in the ~~haemagglutinin~~ hemagglutinin molecule by chicken eggs can render Influenza A virus (H3) candidate vaccine ineffective. *J. Virol.* 1995; 69:4888-4897.
- Kirstner O., K. Muller-K, C. Scholtissek-C. Differential phosphorylation of the nucleoprotein of Influenza A viruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* 1998; 70:2421-2431.
- Marsha A. et al. *Pharmacotherapy* 19 (11):1279-1295, 1999: Rotavirus disease and its prevention in infants and children.
- Murphy B.R. and R.G. Webster-RG. *Orthomyxoviruses*. In: *Fields Virology*, chapter 46, 1397. Eds. B.N. Fields, D.M. Knipe, P.M. Howley, et al., Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia 1996.

Newman R.W., ~~R. Jennings~~, ~~D.L. Major~~, ~~J.S. Robertson~~, ~~R. Jenkins~~, ~~C.W. Potter~~, ~~E.W. I. Burnett~~, ~~L. Jewes~~, ~~M. Anders~~, ~~D. Jackson~~, ~~J.S. Oxford~~. Immune response of human volunteers ~~an~~ and animals to vaccination with egg grown Influenza A (H1N1) virus is influenced by three amino acid substitutions in the ~~haemagglutinin~~ hemagglutinin molecule. *Vaccine* 1993; 11:400-406.

Palache A.M., ~~R. Brands~~, ~~G.J.M. van Scharrenburg~~, ~~GJM~~. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of Influenza subunit vaccines produced in MDCK cells or fertilized chicken eggs. *J. Infect. Dis.* 1977; 176:S20-S23.

Robertson J.S., ~~P. Cook~~, ~~C. Nicolson~~, ~~R. Newman~~, ~~J.M. Wood~~. Mixed populations in Influenza vaccine strains. *Vaccine* 1994; 12:1317-1320.

Robertson J.S., ~~J.S. Bootman~~, ~~C. Nicolson~~, ~~Mjer D~~, ~~D. Major~~, ~~E.W. Robertson~~, ~~J.M. Wood~~. The ~~haemagglutinin~~ hemagglutinin of Influenza B virus present in clinical material is a single species identical to that of mammalian ~~cell-grown virus~~ cell-grown virus. *Virol.* 1990; 179:35-40

Robertson J.S., ~~J.S. Bootman~~, ~~newman R~~, ~~R. Newman~~, ~~J.S. Oxford~~, ~~R.S. Daniels~~, ~~R.G. Webster~~, ~~R.G. Schild~~. Structural changes in the ~~haemagglutinin~~ hemagglutinin which accompany egg adaptation of an Influenza A(H1N1) virus. *Virol.* 1987; 160:31-37.

Schild G.C., ~~J.S. Oxford~~, ~~J.C. de Jong~~, ~~R.G. Webster~~. Evidence for host-cell selection of Influenza virus antigenic variants. *Nature* 1983; 303:706-709.

Schulman J.L., ~~P. Palese~~. Virulence factors of Influenza A viruses: WSN virus neuraminidase required for plaque production in MDBK cells. *J. Virol.* 1977; 24:170-176.

Sugiara A., ~~M. Ueda~~. Neurovirulence of Influenza virus in mice. I. Neurovirulence of recombinants between virulent and avirulent virus strains. *Virol.* 1980; 101:440-449, ~~495, 271~~, 495, 271.

Tobita K., ~~A. Sugiura~~, ~~C. Enomoto~~, ~~M. Furuyama~~. Plaque assay and primary isolation of Influenza A viruses in an established line of canine Kidney cells (MDCK) in the presence of trypsin. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* 1975; 162:9-14.

Williams S.P., ~~J.S. Robertson~~. Analysis of restriction to the growth of non-egg-adapted human Influenza in eggs. *Virol.* 1993; 196:660-665.

Wood J.M., ~~G.C. Schild~~, ~~R.W. Newmann~~, ~~V. Seagroatt~~. Application of an improved single-radial-immunodiffusion technique for the assay of ~~haemagglutinin~~ hemagglutinin antigen content of whole virus and subunit Influenza vaccines. *Dev. Biol. Stand.* 1977 1-3; 39:193-20.



# **~~Abstract~~ABSTRACT**

Means and methods for producing mammalian viruses, the method comprising infecting a culture of immortalized human cells with a virus, incubating the culture infected with virus to propagate the virus under conditions that permit growth of the virus, and to form a virus-containing medium, and removing the virus-containing medium. The viruses can be harvested and be used for the production of vaccines. Advantages include that human cells of the present invention can be cultured under defined ~~serum-free conditions~~, serum-free conditions and the cells show improved capability for propagating virus. Methods are provided for producing, in cultured human cells, influenza virus and vaccines derived thereof. This method eliminates the necessity of using whole chicken embryos for the production of Influenza vaccines. The method also provides for the continuous or batch-wise removal of culture media. As such, the present invention allows the ~~large scale~~ large-scale continuous production of viruses to a high titer.

# SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Pau, Maria Grazia  
UytdeHaag, Alphonsus G.C.M.  
Schouten, Govert

<120> Production of Vaccines

<130> 2578-4626US

<140> 09/722,867

<141> 2000-11-27

<150> 09/449,854

<151> 1999-11-26

<160> 1

<170> PatentIn version 3.2

<210> 1

<211> 3052

<212> DNA

<213> Adenoviridae

<400> 1

```
cgtgtagtgt atttataccc ggtgagttcc tcaagaggcc actcttgagt gccagcgagt 60
agagttttct cctccgagcc gctccgacac cgggactgaa aatgagacat attatctgcc 120
acggaggtgt tattaccgaa gaaatggccg ccagtctttt ggaccagctg atcgaagagg 180
tactggctga taatcttcca cctcctagcc attttgaacc acctaccctt cacgaactgt 240
atgatttaga cgtgacggcc cccgaagatc ccaacgagga ggcggtttcg cagatttttc 300
ccgactctgt aatgttggcg gtgcaggaag ggattgactt actcactttt ccgccggcgc 360
ccggttctcc ggagccgcct cacctttccc ggcagcccga gcagccggag cagagagcct 420
tgggtccggt ttctatgcca aaccttgtac cggaggtgat cgatcttacc tgccacgagg 480
ctggctttcc acccagtgac gacgaggatg aagagggatga ggagtttgtg ttagattatg 540
tggagcaccc cgggcacggt tgcaggtctt gtcattatca ccggaggaat acggggggacc 600
cagatattat gtgttcgctt tgctatatga ggacctgtgg catgtttgtc tacagtaagt 660
gaaaattatg ggcagtgggt gatagagtgg tgggtttggt gtggtaattt tttttttaat 720
ttttacagtt ttgtggttta aagaattttg tattgtgatt tttttaaaag gtccctgtgtc 780
```

tgaacctgag cctgagcccc agccagaacc ggagcctgca agacctaccc gccgtcctaa 840  
aatggcgcct gctatcctga gacgcccgaac atcacctgtg tctagagaat gcaatagtag 900  
tacggatagc tgtgactccg gtccttctaa cacacctcct gagatacacc cgggtgggtccc 960  
gctgtgcccc attaaaccag ttgccgtgag agttgggtggg cgtcgccagg ctgtggaatg 1020  
tatcgaggac ttgcttaacg agcctgggca acctttggac ttgagctgta aacgccccag 1080  
gccataaggt gtaaacctgt gattgcgtgt gtggttaacg cctttgtttg ctgaatgagt 1140  
tgatgtaagt ttaataaagg gtgagataat gtttaacttg catggcgtgt taaatggggc 1200  
ggggcttaaa gggatatataa tgcgccgtgg gctaactctg gttacatctg acctcatgga 1260  
ggcttgggag tgtttggaag atttttctgc tgtgcgtaac ttgctggaac agagctctaa 1320  
cagtacctct tggttttgga ggtttctgtg gggctcatcc caggcaaagt tagtctgcag 1380  
aattaaggag gattacaagt gggaaattga agagcttttg aaatcctgtg gtgagctggt 1440  
tgattctttg aatctgggtc accaggcgct tttccaagag aaggcatca agactttgga 1500  
tttttccaca ccggggcgcg ctgcggctgc tgttgctttt ttgagtttta taaaggataa 1560  
atggagcgaa gaaaccatc tgagcggggg gtacctgctg gatthttctgg ccatgcatct 1620  
gtggagagcg gttgtgagac acaagaatcg cctgctactg ttgtcttccg tccgcccggc 1680  
gataataccg acggaggagc agcagcagca gcaggaggaa gccaggcggc ggcggcagga 1740  
gcagagccca tggaaccgga gagccggcct ggaccctcgg gaatgaatgt tgtacagggtg 1800  
gctgaactgt atccagaact gagacgcatt ttgacaatta cagaggatgg gcaggggcta 1860  
aagggggtaa agagggagcg gggggcttgt gaggctacag aggaggctag gaatctagct 1920  
tttagcttaa tgaccagaca ccgtcctgag tgtattactt ttcaacagat caaggataat 1980  
tgcgctaata agcttgatct gctggcgagc aagtattcca tagagcagct gaccacttac 2040  
tggtgcagc caggggatga ttttgaggag gctattaggg tatatgcaa ggtggcactt 2100  
aggccagatt gcaagtacaa gatcagcaaa cttgtaaata tcaggaattg ttgctacatt 2160  
tctgggaacg gggccgaggt ggagatagat acggaggata ggggtggcctt tagatgtagc 2220  
atgataaata tgtggccggg ggtgcttggc atggacgggg tggttattat gaatgtaagg 2280

ttactggcc ccaatttttag cggtagcggtt ttcttgcca ataccaacct tctctacac 2340  
 ggtgtaagct tctatgggtt taacaatacc tgtgtggaag cctggaccga tgtaaggggtt 2400  
 cggggctgtg ccttttactg ctgctggaag ggggtggtgt gtcgccccaa aagcagggct 2460  
 tcaattaaga aatgcctctt tgaaagggtgt accttgggta tcctgtctga gggtaactcc 2520  
 aggggtgcgc acaatgtggc ctccgactgt gggtgcttca tgctagtga aagcgtggct 2580  
 gtgattaagc ataacatggt atgtggcaac tgcgaggaca gggcctctca gatgctgacc 2640  
 tgctcggacg gcaactgtca cctgctgaag accattcacg tagccagcca ctctcgcaag 2700  
 gcctggccag tgtttgagca taacatactg acccgctgtt ccttgcatth gggtaacagg 2760  
 aggggggtgt tcctacctta ccaatgcaat ttgagtcaca ctaagatatt gcttgagccc 2820  
 gagagcatgt ccaagggtgaa cctgaacggg gtgtttgaca tgaccatgaa gatctggaag 2880  
 gtgctgaggt acgatgagac ccgcaccagg tgcagaccct gcgagtgtgg cggtaaacat 2940  
 attaggaacc agcctgtgat gctggatgtg accgaggagc tgaggcccca tcaacttggtg 3000  
 ctggcctgca cccgcgctga gtttggtctt agcgatgaag atacagattg ag 3052